

Appendix F

Public Involvement

The Washington State Growth Management Act (GMA) requires cities and counties to conduct outreach to ensure “early and continuous public participation” in developing and amending comprehensive plans and development regulations (RCW 36.70A.140). The GMA also requires that local programs clearly identify schedules and procedures for public participation in the periodic update process (RCW 36.70.A.130(2)(a)). The public involvement program for the Comprehensive Growth Management Plan update began with the adoption of the Clark County Public Participation and Preliminary Scoping Schedule (RES 2014-01-10).

Opportunities for public participation, input, and the program to inform Clark County residents consisted of the following:

Board of County Commissioner work sessions were held in 2013, 2014, 2015 and 2016:

- | | |
|---------------------------------|--|
| 1. July 17, 2013 | Introduction to GMA and Issue Paper #1 GMA Overview |
| 2. October 9, 2013 | Vacant Buildable Lands Model |
| 3. October 30, 2013 | Discovery Subarea Plan |
| 4. December 18, 2013 discussion | Public Participation Plan and Issue Paper #2 Population Projection |
| 5. January 22, 2014 | Rural lands census summary and analysis |
| 6. February 26, 2014 | Issue Paper #3 Employment Forecast |
| 7. March 19, 2014 | Vacant Buildable Lands Model: Employment emphasis |
| 8. April 16, 2014 | Principles and Values and Planning Assumptions |
| 9. May 14, 2014 | Principles and Values and Planning Assumptions #2 |
| 10. June 18, 2014 | Issue Paper #4 Allocation |
| 11. July 16, 2014 | Issue Paper #5, SEPA Scoping |
| 12. October 22, 2014 | Alternatives |
| 13. September 24, 2014 | SEPA Scoping Summary, Issue Paper 4.1 Allocation |
| 14. January 21, 2015 | Response to Clark County Citizens United |
| 15. February 18, 2015 | Alternative #4 |
| 16. March 11, 2015 | Alternative #4 |
| 17. June 10, 2015 | Buildable Lands Report |
| 18. July 15, 2015 | Issue Paper 6: Countywide Planning Policies |
| 19. November 9, 2015 | Discuss Commissioner Madore’s New Materials |
| 20. January 13, 2016 | Critique of Planning Assumptions by Thorpe |
| 21. March 16, 2016 | Title 40 Resource Clusters |
| 22. April 27 & May 5, 2016 | Comprehensive Plan, Title 40, and Capital Facilities Plan |

Media coverage—news releases, news articles, countywide TV broadcasts on CVTV and public notification of Open Houses, Planning Commission and BOCC hearing and work sessions.

In-depth information on Clark County’s Growth Management Plan web site

Dissemination of information to a mailing list of interested citizens

Presentations to interested organizations, neighborhood associations

Opportunities for Public Comment

1. A community survey called Rural Census was taken on November 8, 2013 focusing on agriculture and forest resources.
2. BOCC **Hearing** on January 21, 2014 on Issue Paper #2 on Population and Public Participation Plan.
3. BOCC **Hearings** on April 1 and 29, 2014 on Issue Paper #3.1 on Employment Forecast.
4. BOCC **Hearing** on June 24, 2014 on Issue Paper #4 Allocation and Suspension of Annual Reviews.
5. Four **Open Houses** were held on August 19, 20, 27, and 28, 2014, to scope the three draft alternative land use plans (Alternative 1 – No Action Alternative; Alternative 2 – Countywide Modifications; Alternative 3 – City UGA Expansion) and to identify issues that need to be addressed in the draft environmental impact statement (DSEIS). The meeting included staff presentations, a question and answer session, and an open house format, with informational displays, maps, and handouts. Staff was present to respond to questions and elaborate on the available materials. The public provided input on areas of the environment that should be evaluated in the DSEIS.
6. Two public **Open Houses** were held October 29 and 30, 2014 to present the three proposed alternatives to the Comprehensive Growth Management Plan.
7. Two more **Open Houses** were held on March 25 and April 1, 2015 to discuss the Proposed Alternatives.
8. BOCC **Hearing** on April 14, 2015 on Issue Paper 4.2 Allocation, Amends, Issue Paper 5 and 5.1 SEPA Alternatives.
9. A countywide online survey via **Engage Clark County** was issued on August 6, 2015, to gather public comments on the proposed alternatives of the 2016 Comprehensive Plan.
10. Draft Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement (**DSEIS**) published on August 5, 2015 for 30-day public review period.
11. Planning Commission **Hearing** on August 20, 2015 on the Parks Comprehensive Plan.
12. Joint BOCC and Planning Commission **Hearings** on the DSEIS were held on September 3 and 10, 2015.
13. Planning Commission **Hearing** on August 17, 2015 on the DSEIS.
14. BOCC **Hearing** on September 22, 2015 on the Parks Comprehensive Plan.
15. A countywide online survey via **Engage Clark County** was issued on October 10, 2015, to gather public comments on the Planning Commission's recommendation for the preferred alternative of the Comprehensive Plan.
16. Planning Commission **Hearing** on October 15, 2015 on School Districts CFP and Impact Fees.
17. BOCC **Hearing** on October 20, 2015 to discuss the Planning Commission's recommendations on school district CFP and Impact Fees.
18. Two **Public Meetings** were held November 16 and 17, 2015 to discuss the new Alternative 4, maps, and planning assumptions.
19. A countywide online survey via **Engage Clark County** was issued on November 18, 2015 asking for input on the revised Alternative 4 and proposed new planning assumptions for the Comprehensive Plan.
20. Planning Commission **Hearing** on November 19, 2015 on the new planning assumptions and preferred alternative.

21. BOCC **Hearing** on November 24, 2015 to discuss the Preferred Alternative, new planning assumptions, policies.
22. BOCC **Hearing** on December 1, 2015 to discuss the Preferred Alternative.
23. BOCC **Hearing** on December 8, 2015 to discuss contract for a consultant (Thorpe) to prepare an addendum to the DSEIS.
24. BOCC **Hearing** on February 16, 2016 to discuss the Preferred Alternative.
25. BOCC **Hearing** on February 23, 2016 to discuss the Preferred Alternative.
26. Board of County Councilors **Hearing** on March 1, 2016 to discuss the Preferred Alternative.
27. **Final Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement (FSEIS)** issued April 27, 2016.
28. A countywide online survey via **Engage Clark County** issued in the spring of 2016 (April 14 through May 11) with a summary of Comprehensive Plan amendments.
29. Joint BOCC and Planning Commission **Hearings** held on May 19 and 24, 2016 on the Comprehensive Plan.
30. Planning Commission Deliberation **Hearing** on June 2, 2016 on the Comprehensive Plan.
31. BOCC Deliberation **Hearing** held on June 21, 2016 on the Comprehensive Plan.
32. Consent Agenda item at BOCC Hearing on June 28, 2016, to consider Amended Ordinance 2016-06-21, adopting the updated Comprehensive Growth Management Plan. Public comment on this item was taken by the BOCC at this hearing prior to its approval on June 28.



RESOLUTION NO. 2014 -01-10

1
2
3 A RESOLUTION relating to the adoption of the **Clark County Public Participation Plan and Preliminary**
4 **Scoping Schedule** that will be used for the county's comprehensive land use plan 2016 periodic update
5 pursuant to Chapter 36.70A.140 RCW.
6

7
8 WHEREAS, Clark County adopted a 20-Year Comprehensive Growth Management Plan through
9 ordinances 1994-12-47 and 1994-12-53 on December 20, 1994 to meet the goals and requirements of
10 Chapter 36.70A RCW (also known as the Growth Management Act "GMA"); and
11

12 WHEREAS, Clark County adopted an updated 20-Year Comprehensive Growth Management Plan
13 through ordinance 2004-09-02 and 2007-09-13 to meet the goals and requirements of Chapter 36.70A
14 RCW; and
15

16 WHEREAS, Chapter 36.70A.130 RCW requires that the county "shall take legislative action to review
17 and, if needed, revise its comprehensive land use plan and development regulations to ensure the plan and
18 regulations comply with the requirements of this chapter"; and
19

20 WHEREAS, Chapter 36.70A.140 RCW requires counties to conduct outreach to "establish and
21 broadly disseminate to the public a public participation program identifying procedures providing for early
22 and continuous public participation in the development and amendment of comprehensive land use plans
23 and development regulations implementing such plans."; and
24

25 WHEREAS, Clark County is required to clearly identify the procedures for broad dissemination of
26 proposals and alternatives, opportunity for written comments, public meetings after effective notice,
27 provision for open discussion, communication programs, information services, and consideration of and
28 response to public comments; and
29

30 WHEREAS, the Board of County Commissioners after effective notice considered the Clark County
31 Public Participation Plan and Preliminary Scoping Schedule (Exhibit 1) at duly advertised public hearings on
32 January 21, 2014; and
33

34 WHEREAS, the Board of County Commissioners took public testimony from interested parties,
35 considered all the written and oral arguments and testimony, and considered all the comments presented
36 to the Board; and
37

38 WHEREAS, the Board concluded at duly advertised public hearing and finds that adoption will
39 further the public health, safety and welfare; now therefore,
40

41 BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF CLARK COUNTY, STATE OF
42 WASHINGTON that the Clark County Public Participation Plan and Preliminary Scoping Schedule (Exhibit 1)
43 that will be used for the county's Comprehensive Plan 2016 periodic update pursuant to Chapter
44 36.70A.140 RCW is hereby approved and adopted for its stated purpose.
45
46
47
48
49

1 Section 1. Instructions to Clerk.

2
3 The Clerk to the Board shall:

- 4
- 5 1. Transmit a copy of this resolution to the Washington State Department of Commerce within ten
- 6 days of its adoption pursuant to RCW 36.70A.106.
- 7
- 8 2. Transmit a copy of the adopted resolution to Community Planning Department Director.
- 9
- 10 3. Transmit a copy of the adopted resolution to the Cities of Battle Ground, Camas, La Center,
- 11 Ridgefield, Washougal, Woodland, Vancouver and Town of Yacolt.
- 12
- 13 4. Record a copy of this resolution with the Clark County Auditor.
- 14
- 15 5. Cause notice of adoption of this resolution to be published forthwith pursuant to RCW
- 16 36.70A.290.
- 17

18
19 ADOPTED this 21st day of January 2014.

20
21 BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
22 FOR CLARK COUNTY, WASHINGTON

23 Attest:

24
25 
26
27 Rebecca Jitor
28 Clerk to the Board

29
30 By: 
31 Tom Mielke
32 Tom Mielke, Chair

33 Approved as to Form Only:
34 Anthony F. Golik
35 Prosecuting Attorney

36 By: _____
37 David Madore, Commissioner

38 By: 
39 Christine Cook
40 Deputy Prosecuting Attorney

By: _____
Steve Stuart, Commissioner

1. Clark County Comprehensive Plan 2016 Update
Public Participation Plan & Preliminary Scoping Schedule

Purpose

The Washington State Growth Management Act (GMA) requires cities and counties to conduct outreach to ensure “early and continuous public participation” in developing and amending comprehensive plans and development regulations (RCW 36.70A.140). The GMA also requires that local programs clearly identify schedules and procedures for public participation in the periodic update process (RCW 36.70.A.130(2)(a)).

To ensure compliance, the Washington State Department of Commerce recommends local governments begin the periodic update process by adopting a public participation plan. It would clearly identify the scope of the proposed update, when legislative action is expected, and how the public can participate or comment. Community Planning believes this recommendation is sound, and strongly encourages the Board of County Commissioners (BOCC) to adopt a formal public participation plan.

Goals

1. Ensure broad participation by identifying key interest groups, soliciting input from the public, and ensuring no single group or interest dominates the process.
2. Maintain effective communication and coordination with municipalities and service providers.
3. Provide equal opportunity for participation throughout the county; east (Camas and Washougal), south (Vancouver), northwest (Ridgefield and La Center) and north (Battle Ground and Yacolt).
4. Accommodate budgetary and staffing constraints by ensuring resources are focused on elements of the update process likely to be of greatest interest to the public.
5. Distribute information and post notices efficiently.
6. Notify the public of all meetings, hearings, workshops and legislative actions.

Scope of Work

To organize the complex process of updating the Comprehensive Plan, Community Planning has divided essential elements into two phases. During Phase I Pre-Planning, the Comprehensive Plan and development regulations will be reviewed for compliance with state law. This process will identify areas of the plan that must be amended. As a preliminary step, the board and Planning Commission must establish a scope of work for the update. The preliminary scope of work and update schedule are general rather than specific because it is extremely difficult to know the full extent of the required work until Phase I is complete. Phase II will be where issues identified in Phase I are addressed. Throughout Phase I and Phase II, extensive interaction with the community will provide information to the process. To assist, Community Planning has classified work associated with the update as mandatory or strongly recommended. This will accommodate budgetary and staffing constraints. A summary is provided below.

1
2
3

GMA Requirements	Mandatory	<ul style="list-style-type: none">✓ Consideration of GMA amendments✓ Urban growth areas and population projections✓ Critical areas regulations✓ Mineral resource lands✓ Internal consistency✓ Development regulation consistency
Required by Clark County Code or Comprehensive Plan	Mandatory	<ul style="list-style-type: none">✓ Other development regulation amendments
Important Planning Considerations	Strongly Recommended	<ul style="list-style-type: none">✓ Fully develop benchmark and monitoring system to guide future planning work✓ Reorganization and rewrite of Comprehensive Plan to improve readability and usefulness✓ Previously uncompleted annual review docket/work program items.

4
5
6
7
8
9

Phase I

Phase I will begin with a thorough review of GMA requirements and compilation of amendments since the 2007 update. The department will review the Comprehensive Plan and development regulations to determine whether revisions will be required to ensure consistency with GMA amendments.

10 The county also will need to analyze its Urban Growth Areas (UGAs) to ensure they are sized to
11 accommodate 20 years of population and employment growth (based on an adopted OFM range). If,
12 during this analysis, the county determines a UGA is either too small or too large, corrective actions will
13 be identified. They could include altering the size of urban growth areas, changing the allowed uses and
14 densities, or a combination of actions. Any proposed changes must be fully consistent with and
15 supported by adopted Countywide Planning Policies (CWPPs) and capital facilities plans.

16
17 Because plans and policies of other local governments and utility providers must be consistent with the
18 adopted Countywide Planning Policies, this step will require high-level intergovernmental coordination.
19 To address this need, the board and Community Planning have committed to working cooperatively with
20 all involved parties as a forum for reviewing and, if need be, revising CWPPs.

21
22 **Phase II**

23 Once the initial review and analysis are complete (Phase I), the Washington State Department of
24 Commerce recommends local governments adopt an ordinance or resolution stating a review has been
25 completed and identifying elements of the Comprehensive Plan or development regulations that will be
26 updated. This step will result in a report documenting changes in Clark County since adoption of the
27 Comprehensive Plan, areas of the plan or development regulations that must be updated or amended ,
28 and amendments or changes, which although not mandatory, the board and Planning Commission have
29 chosen to consider.

2014 Resolution

1 Phase II essentially will be a stage where issues identified in Phase I are addressed through plan or code
2 revisions. Until Phase I is complete, Community Planning cannot identify a detailed scope of work for
3 Phase II. However, the department has prepared a general outline of tasks to complete in conjunction
4 with the periodic update. The outline has been incorporated into the attached "Public Participation Plan
5 & Preliminary Scope of Work." A more detailed scope of work will be prepared for Phase II at the
6 completion of Phase I.

7 **Public Participation Program Structure**

8 To best use Clark County's limited planning resources, this plan identifies both *essential* public
9 participation strategies that *will* be employed as well as *optional* strategies which *could* be employed if
10 resources are available. The estimated completion dates for each step are indeed estimates. In some
11 cases, final action may occur before or after the target date because of constrained resources, need for
12 additional intergovernmental collaboration, or unforeseen circumstances.

13 **Techniques and Strategies**

14 The public participation methods employed by Clark County may include:

15 **Innovative Public Involvement Technology** – In addition to traditional outreach methods below, Clark
16 County will use innovative technology. The goal is to reach beyond the individuals who typically attend
17 public meetings to encourage comments from a broader audience. Technology based tools could include:

- 18 • Project grid with dates, topic/issues, upcoming PC, BOCC meetings
- 19 • Mapping/GIS applications and web-based survey
- 20 • Online surveys/Polls
- 21 • CTV – video production for TV broadcast and websites
- 22 • Community Planning's Website, commplanning@clark.wa.gov
- 23 • Electronic voting machines
- 24 • Webinars
- 25 • Social media
- 26 • The Future's Game


27 **Public workshops, Public meetings, and open houses** – Informal gatherings to solicit public feedback on
28 Clark County's planning efforts. Workshops and/or listening posts may involve presentations by staff,
29 question and answer sessions and interactive activities. Community Planning commits to hold meetings
30 at convenient times, and at locations that are accessible. Information is made available either through
31 presentations by technical staff (public meeting) or through display exhibits (open house). We will
32 present material online to create an alternative "open house" for citizens unable or unwilling to attend
33 in person.

34 **Public notification of hearings** (agendas etc.) – The public is notified of Community Planning meetings and
35 events primarily through Planning County website and e-mail communication. The Planning Commission
36 and Board packets of informational material are made available on County website. A formal public process
37 conducted before the Board of County Commissioners or Planning Commission.

38 **Clark County website** – Community Planning Department has a robust website with information about
39 active projects and activities. The online site includes a meeting calendar and electronic copies of
40 agendas, upcoming meetings, programs, and where relevant documents, schedules, announcements,
41 notices of meetings, hearings and public involvement opportunities will be posted. The website offers
42 links to archives of completed projects and studies.

- 1 **City/County coordination meetings** – Community Planning will coordinate with the cities of Battle
2 Ground, Camas, La Center, Ridgefield, Vancouver and Washougal and the town of Yacolt on countywide
3 planning issues that affect each jurisdiction. Clark County will coordinate meetings to discuss issues and
4 seek consensus with each municipality before taking final action. In addition, Clark County will work
5 directly with other municipal service providers affected by the plan.
- 6 **Technical advisory groups** – Community Planning may use technical advisory groups to solicit guidance
7 on complex technical issues requiring a high level of intergovernmental coordination. The groups will
8 include members who have specific knowledge of or interest in specialized technical topics. Technical
9 advisory groups may have members from business and interest groups, trade organizations, service
10 providers, municipalities and county departments.
- 11 **Email Distribution lists and Databases** – Community Planning will promote and maintain a list of
12 individuals and groups who have expressed an interest in the Comprehensive Plan update. The list will
13 be updated and used to disseminate announcements and notices. To join Community Planning
14 Comprehensive Growth Management Plan Update mailing list, contact the Planning Department at
15 360.397.2280 ext. 4558 or online at commplanning@clark.wa.gov The list already includes hundreds of
16 subscribers.
- 17 **Stakeholder Outreach** – Community Planning will identify and reach out to any person or groups that
18 are affected by the plan update, including those who may not be aware they are affected. Stakeholders
19 include the general public, environmental groups, school districts, public health community,
20 neighborhood and civic organizations, public agencies, and other groups.
- 21 **Neighborhood Associations** – Involve neighborhood associations and invite leaders inform neighbors via
22 social media and small gatherings.
- 23 **Television and Online Videocasts** – CTVTV broadcasts of Planning Commission and Board hearings will be
24 replayed to reach a broader audience.
- 25 **Issue papers** – They will provide focused guidance and document the evolution of the update process.
26 Before final adoption, Community Planning will compile the issue papers into a single background report
27 and post issue papers and the report on the department’s website.
- 28 **News releases** – Clark County will prepare news releases and distribute them to general news media,
29 specialized media, and neighborhood associations and other local information providers throughout
30 the update process. News releases also are available through online requests for RSS feeds and by
31 following the county on social media, such as Facebook and Twitter.
- 32 **Board of Commissioner worksessions** – Community Planning will schedule worksessions as needed to
33 brief board members and other interested parties and publicize topics and content as warranted.
- 34 **Planning Commission worksessions** – Community Planning will schedule worksessions as needed to brief
35 the Planning Commission and other interested parties.
- 36 **Notification and availability of information** - Clark County will ensure meetings, worksessions and
37 hearings are publicized as required by state law or county code. Clark County will make every effort to
38 post all relevant documents on the county website. In addition, a project file will be maintained for
39 public review at Community Planning, Public Service Center, 1300 Franklin St., third floor, Vancouver.
- 40 **Preliminary Schedule** - After a preliminary review of State requirements and technical guidance,

- 1 Community Planning has identified the following specific steps for the 2016 Comprehensive Plan update.
- 2 **Public Information and Outreach** – Community Planning will work with county PIO staff to modify and
- 3 execute this plan as additional information and opportunities becomes available.

July-Dec. 2013	January 2014 – December 2015		
PRE-PLANNING	DATA ANALYSIS	PLAN DEVELOPMENT	
GMA Overview VBLM Review Preliminary Scoping Timeline Public Participation Plan	Public Review & Comment Dept. of Commerce Checklist 20-year Population Range Countywide Planning Policies Regional Growth Trends & Allocation Planning Assumptions Buildable Lands Review Land Use Technical Report Housing Technical Report Capital Facilities Technical Report Transportation Technical Report Environmental Technical Report	Public Review & Comment SEPA Analysis & Public Review Urban Growth Area Review Capital Facility Plan (CFP) County Capital Facility & Financial Plan (CFFP) VBLM Analysis Land Use Transportation Analysis Zone Regional Travel Demand Analysis Draft Comprehensive Plan Text	ADOPTION Public Review & Comment Department of Commerce Review Planning Commission Hearings County Commissioner Hearings Issue Notice of Adoption

4

5 **Phase I – Focus on Informing the Public**

- 6 1. Establish Preliminary Scope of Work and Public Participation Plan
 - 7 a. *Essential public participation*: written plan, news release, website, work session, Planning
 - 8 Commission hearing, Board of County Commissioners hearing
 - 9 b. *Final action*: Resolution adopting the Public Participation Plan and Preliminary Scope of
 - 10 Work
- 11 2. Selection of 20-year population projection range
 - 12 a. *Essential public participation*: Issue paper, city/county coordination meetings, website
 - 13 update, worksession, county and municipality review, Planning Commission hearing, Board of
 - 14 County Commissioners hearing, coordination with municipal service providers
 - 15 b. *Final action*: Resolution adopting the selected population projection
- 16 3. Countywide Planning Policies
 - 17 a. *Essential public participation*: Issue paper, city/county coordination meeting, website update,
 - 18 worksession, county and municipality review, Planning Commission hearing, Board of County
 - 19 Commissioners hearing, coordination with municipal service providers
 - 20 b. *Final action*: Resolution adopting the revised Countywide Planning Policies
- 21 4. Regional growth trends and allocations
 - 22 a. *Essential public participation*: Issue paper, website update, city/county coordination
 - 23 meeting, worksessions, coordination with municipal service providers
 - 24

- 1 b. *Final action:* Resolution adopting allocation of population to each planning area and urban
- 2 growth area.
- 3 5. Buildable lands analysis
- 4 a. *Essential public participation:* Issue paper, website update, city/county coordination
- 5 meeting, worksession.
- 6 b. *Final action:* Buildable lands analysis report available to local planning jurisdictions and
- 7 service providers. The Buildable lands analysis is due June 30, 2015.
- 8 6. Formal review of Comprehensive Plan and development regulations
- 9 a. *Essential public participation:* Issue paper, technical advisory group (for certain technical
- 10 elements), website update, email list, news release, public meeting in each planning area,
- 11 worksession, Planning Commission hearing.
- 12 b. *Final action:* Adopted resolution stating a formal review has occurred and identifying
- 13 pending changes or revisions to the Comprehensive Plan and development regulations, and
- 14 a detailed schedule and public participation plan for Phase II of the update.

15 **Phase II –Increase Efforts to Involve Public Before Key Decisions**

16 All public meetings will include online options for information and input for citizens not inclined to

17 attend in person.

- 18 1. Urban Growth Area modifications
- 19 a. *Essential public participation:* Issue paper, technical advisory group/city/county coordination
- 20 meeting, worksession, website update, email list, news release, public meeting in each
- 21 planning area where a UGA modification is proposed, coordination with municipal service
- 22 providers
- 23 b. *Final action:* Decision on revised urban growth area boundaries, if any
- 24 2. Draft Comprehensive Plan revisions
- 25 a. *Essential public participation:* Issue paper, technical advisory group (for certain technical
- 26 elements), website update, email list, news release, public meeting in each planning area,
- 27 worksession
- 28 b. *Final action:* Completion of proposed Comprehensive Plan revisions
- 29 3. SEPA analysis and public review period
- 30 a. *Essential public participation:* Update website, email list, send notice to adopt to state
- 31 agencies
- 32 b. *Final action:* SEPA Threshold Determination issued
- 33 4. Draft development regulation revisions
- 34 a. *Essential public participation:* Issue paper, technical advisory group (for certain technical
- 35 regulations), website update, email list, news release, public meeting in each planning area,
- 36 worksession
- 37 b. *Final action:* Completion of proposed development regulations revisions
- 38 5. Final adoption
- 39 a. *Essential public participation:* Issue paper, website update, email list, news release,
- 40 worksession, Planning Commission hearing, Board of County Commissioners hearing
- 41 b. *Final action:* Formal adoption of 2016 Comprehensive Plan update