

### Periodic Update Checklist for Counties – Updated July 2014

Covers laws through 2012

This checklist is intended to help counties that are fully planning under the Growth Management Act (GMA) to conduct the "periodic review and update" of comprehensive plans and development regulations required by RCW 36.70A.130(4). Counties can use the checklist to identify components of their comprehensive plan and development regulations that may need to be updated to reflect the latest information, or to comply with changes to the GMA since their last update.

This checklist includes only components of the comprehensive plan and development regulations that are specifically required by the GMA. Statutory requirements adopted since 2003 are emphasized in highlighted text to help identify new components of the GMA that may not have been addressed in annual updates or other amendments outside of the required periodic update process. Counties within the Puget Sound Regional Council boundaries may want to use this checklist in tandem with PSRC checklists. A separate checklist is available for cities. Expanded checklists (one for Comprehensive Plans, one for Development Regulations) are also available, which include a more comprehensive list of related good ideas and things to consider.

#### How to fill out the checklist

With the most recent version of your comprehensive plan and development regulations in hand, fill out each item in the checklist. Select the check box or type in text fields, answering the following questions:

Is this item addressed in your current plan or regulations? If YES, fill in the form with citation(s) to where in the plan or code the item is addressed. We recommend using citations rather than page numbers because they stay the same regardless of how the document is printed. If you have questions about the requirement, follow the hyperlinks to the relevant statutory provision or rules. If you still have questions, visit the Commerce Web page or contact one of the Commerce planners assigned to your region.

Is amendment needed to meet current statute? Check YES to indicate a change to your plan or regulations will be needed. Check NO to indicate that the GMA requirement has already been met. Local updates may not be needed if the statute hasn't changed since your previous update, if your county has kept current with required inventories, or if there haven't been many changes in local circumstances. Check "Further Review Needed" if you are unsure whether the requirement has already been met.

**Is your county considering optional amendments?** Use this field to note areas where your county may elect to work on or amend sections of your plan or development regulations that are not strictly required by the GMA.

#### How to use the completed checklist

Commerce strongly encourages you to use the completed checklist to develop a detailed work plan (see Appendix B) for your periodic update. The checklist can be used to inform the contents of a council resolution that defines what actions will be taken as part of the GMA periodic update.

Addressed	Amend-	Is county
in current	ment	considering
plan or	needed to	optional
regs? If yes,	meet	amend-
note where.	current	ments?
	statute?	

# I. Required Comprehensive Plan Elements and Components:

1.	A Land Use Element that is consistent with county-wide plannin 36.70A.070(1).	ng policies (CW	/PPs) and RCW	
	A <b>future land use map</b> showing city limits and urban growth area (UGA) boundaries.  RCW 36.70A.070(1) and RCW 36.70A.110(6)  WAC 365-196-400(2)(d), WAC 365-196-405(2)(i)(ii) <b>Urban Growth Area</b> review. Periodic review and update, if needed, of UGA boundaries. Based on population projection	✓ No ☐ Yes Location(s) Figure 12 - 18. ☐ No ☑ Yes	✓ Yes  ☐ No ☐ Further review needed Update Figures as needed. ☐ Yes ☐ No	
	made for the County by OFM, the county and each city must include areas and densities sufficient to permit the urban growth projected to occur in the county or city for the succeeding twenty year period, except UGAs completely within a national historic reserve.  RCW 36.70A.110(2) and (6); RCW 36.70A.130  WAC 365-196-310	Location(s) Figure 12 – 18.	Further review needed Update table 1.3 and 1.4 to the new 2035 OFM medium population projection.  June 2015 Buildable Lands Report discusses land capacity analysis for UGAs.	
C.	If there is an urban growth area (UGA) expansion into the 100-year floodplain of a river segment that is located west of the Cascade crest and when the river has a mean annual flow of 1,000 or more cubic feet per second, it meets the statutory exceptions to the general ban on such UGA expansions. RCW 36.70A.110(8), Adopted 2009.	☑ No □ Yes Location(s)	✓ Yes  ☐ No ☐ Further review needed No UGA expansions into 100-year floodplain.	
d.	A consistent population projection throughout the plan which should be consistent with the Office of Financial Management forecast for the county or the county's subcounty allocation of that forecast.  RCW 43.62.035; WAC 365-196-405(2)(f)	☐ No ☑ Yes Location(s) P. 1-4, table 1.2 shows population growth & allocation. P. 1-3, table 1.1 shows land devoted to urban, rural and resource uses.	✓ Yes  ☐ No ☐ Further review needed Update population tables to reflect new OFM forecast, and update acreage table.	

		Addressed in current plan or regs? If yes, note where.	Amend- ment needed to meet current statute?	Is county considering optional amend- ments?
e.	Estimates of population densities and building intensities based on future land uses.  RCW 36.70A.070(1); WAC 365-196-405(2)(c)(i)	☐ No ☐ Yes Location(s) P. 1-4, table 1.2 shows population growth & allocation. P. 1-3, table 1.1 shows land devoted to urban, rural and resource uses.	✓ Yes  ☐ No ☐ Further review needed Update population tables to reflect new OFM forecast, and update acreage table.	
f.	Consideration of urban planning approaches that increase physical activity.  RCW 36.70A.070(1), Amended in 2005  WAC 365-196-405 (2)(j)	☐ NO ☐ Yes Location(s) Highway 99 Sub- Area plan p. 1-27, Clark County Bicycle and Pedestrian Master Plan, Growing Healthier Report.	✓ Yes  ✓ No  ✓ Further  review  needed  Adding references to Clark County Bicycle and Pedestrian Master Plan and the Growing Healthier Report.	
g.	Provisions for protection of the quality and quantity of groundwater used for public water supplies.  RCW 36.70A.070(1)	☐ No ☑ Yes Location(s) Stormwater Ordinance ORD 2016-03-11.	☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Further review needed	
h.	Identification of lands useful for public purposes such as utility corridors, transportation corridors, landfills, sewage treatment facilities, stormwater management facilities, recreation, schools, and other public uses.  RCW 36.70A.150 and WAC 365-196-340	□ No □ Yes Location(s) Utility corridors, p. 6-18. Identifies providers and Utility policies on p. 6-27. The following sections contain Goals and policies: Transportation CH. 5 p. 5-36 to 5-42. Capital Facilities Element CH. 6 includes landfills, sewage treatment facilities, stormwater and management facilities p. 6-21 to 6-29. Parks CH. 7 p. 7-14 to 7-20., and Schools CH. 10 p. 10-7 to 10-10.	✓ Yes  ☐ No ☐ Further review needed Update Appendix E, County's Capital Facilities Plan.	

		Addressed in current plan or regs? If yes, note where.	Amend- ment needed to meet current statute?	Is county considering optional amend- ments?
		County land needs are listed in Appendix E, County's Capital Facilities Plan.		
i.	Identification of open space corridors within and between urban growth areas, including lands useful for recreation, wildlife habitat, trails, and connection of critical areas.  RCW 36.70A.160 and WAC 365-196-335	✓ No  ☐ Yes  Location(s)  Open Space Corridors Map see Figure 27. Framework Plan Policy, 7.1.0 for open space, p. 12. County-wide Planning Policy 3.0.2, p. 3-14. Included in CH. 7 Parks, Recreation, and Open Space. Goals and policies on open space, p. 7-17.	☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Further review needed	
j.	If there is an airport within or adjacent to the county: policies, land use designations (and zoning) to discourage the siting of incompatible uses adjacent to general aviation airports. RCW 36.70A.510; RCW 36.70.547, New in 1996. Note: The plan (and associated regulations) must be filed with the Aviation Division of WSDOT. WAC 365-196-455	☐ NO ☐ Yes  Location(s)  Multi-modal System Policy 5.2.5 requires compatibility with surrounding uses. Identified as an implementation strategy to work with WSDOT Transportation Division.	✓ Yes  No Further review needed Update aviation section of CH. 5, Transportation Element.	
k.	If there is a Military Base within or adjacent to the jurisdiction employing 100 or more personnel: policies, land use designations, (and consistent zoning) to discourage the siting of incompatible uses adjacent to military bases.  RCW 36.70A.530(3), New in 2004. See WAC 365-196-475	✓ No ☐ Yes Location(s) No military base in or adjacent to Clark County.	☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Further review needed	
I.	Where applicable, a review of drainage, flooding, and stormwater run-off in the area and nearby jurisdictions and provide guidance for corrective actions to mitigate or cleanse those discharges that pollute waters of the state.  RCW 36.70A.070(1) and WAC 365-196-405(1)(e)  Note: RCW 90.56.010(26) defines waters of the state.	☐ No ☑ Yes Location(s) Stormwater Ordinance ORD 2016-03-11.	☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Further review needed	
m.	Policies to designate and protect critical areas including wetlands, fish and wildlife habitat protection areas, frequently flooded areas, critical aquifer recharge areas, and	□ No ☑ Yes Location(s)	☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Further	

		Addressed in current plan or regs? If yes, note where.	Amend- ment needed to meet current statute?	Is county considering optional amend-ments?
	geologically hazardous areas. In developing these policies, the county must have included the <b>best available science</b> (BAS) to protect the functions and values of critical areas, and give "special consideration" to conservation or protection measures necessary to preserve or enhance anadromous fisheries.  RCW 36.70A.030(5), RCW 36.70A.172, BAS added in 1995. See WAC 365-195-900 through -925.  Note: A voluntary stewardship program was created in ESHB 1886 [New in 2011] as an alternative for protecting critical areas in areas used for agricultural activities. Counties had the opportunity to opt into this voluntary program before January 22, 2012. See requirements of the voluntary stewardship program. RCW 36.70A.700 through .904.	County-wide Planning Policies and County 20-Year Planning Policies (p. 1-20 – 1-30), and the Environmental Element, p. 4-16 to 4-21.	review needed	
n.	If forest or agricultural lands of long-term commercial significance are designated inside an urban growth area, there must be a program authorizing Transfer (or Purchase) of Development Rights.  RCW 36.70A.060(4), Amended in 2005	☐ NO ☑ Yes Location(s) P. 3-26 lists develop PDR or TDR program as a Strategy for Resource lands.	☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Further review needed	
0.	Criteria for designating natural resource lands consistent with minimum guidelines to classify agricultural, forest, mineral lands and critical areas?  RCW 36.70A.050, WAC 365-190, and WAC 365-195, see 900-925.	☐ No ☑ Yes Location(s) P. 3-5 includes Designation Criteria.	☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Further review needed	
p.	Policies for agriculturally designated lands limiting nonagricultural uses to lands with poor soils or otherwise not suitable for agricultural purposes, and policies limiting the allowable range of accessory uses to those allowed by statute. RCW 36.70A.177(3) [Amended in 2004 and 2006]	☐ No ☑ Yes Location(s) ccc 40.210.010.	Yes No Further review needed Update with new clustering provisions for Ag and Forest lands.	
q.	Policies <b>encouraging the conservation</b> of productive forest and agricultural lands and <b>discouraging incompatible uses</b> . RCW 36.70A.020(8), Amended in 1997. WAC 365-190-050, WAC 365-196-815	☐ No ☑ Yes Location(s) P. 3-18, Forest policies, p. 3-19 Agriculture policies.	✓ Yes  ☐ No ☐ Further review needed Update with new clustering provisions for Ag	

		Addressed in current plan or regs? If yes, note where.	Amend- ment needed to meet current statute?	Is county considering optional amend- ments?
			and Forest lands.	
r.	Review of designated mineral resource lands.	□ No	☐ Yes	
	RCW 36.70A.131 requires consideration of new information,	☑ Yes	☑ No	
	including data available from the Department of Natural	Location(s)	☐ Further	
	Resources, relating to mineral resource deposits when	Figure 23 CCC 40.250.022	review	
	reviewing mineral resource land designations. Minerals	Surface Mining Overlay District	needed	
	include sand, gravel and valuable metallic substances.	Ord. 2015-11-24.		
	RCW 36.70A.030(11) and WAC 365-190-070.  If the county is eligible and has designated a major industrial	□No	☐ Yes	
S.	development or master planned location outside of the	☑ Yes	☐ res ☑ No	
	<b>UGA</b> , is the area consistent with the criteria in the GMA?	Location(s)	☐ Further	
	RCW 36.70A.365 and .367. New in 1995, Amended in 2004,	Policy 1.6.6, p. 1-30 Policy 9.1.13, p. 9-9	review	
	and 2007. WAC 365-196-465, WAC 365-196-470	allows master	needed	
	· <del></del>	planned development		
		outside urban growth areas.		
t.	If the county has permitted a master planned resort, have	□No	☐ Yes	
	the requirements of RCW 36.70A.360 and RCW 36.70A.362	☑ Yes	☑ No	
	been met?	Location(s) One-recognition of	☐ Further	
	WAC 365-196-460	existing master planned resort,	review	
		Alderbrook Park	needed	
2.	A <b>Housing Element</b> to ensure the vitality and character of establishment	blished residei	ntial neighbor	rhoods and
	is consistent with relevant CWPPs, and RCW 36.70A.070(2). Se			
a.	Goals, policies, and objectives for the preservation,	□No	☑ Yes	
	improvement, and development of housing.	☑ Yes	□ No	
	RCW 36.70A.070(2)(b) and WAC 365-196-410(2)(a)	Location(s)	☐ Further	
		Housing Element, p. 2-26 to 2-29.	review	
			needed Update policies as	
			needed.	
b.	An inventory and analysis of existing and projected housing	□No	☑ Yes	
	needs over the planning period.	☑ Yes	□ No	
	RCW 36.70A.070(2)(a) and WAC 365-196-410(2)(b) and (c)	Location(s) Chapter 2 Housing	☐ Further	
		Element.	review needed	
			Update inventory of	
			existing housing and an analysis on	
			the number and type of housing	
			units necessary for growth over the	
			planning period.	

		Addressed in current plan or regs? If yes, note where.	Amend- ment needed to meet current statute?	Is county considering optional amend- ments?
C.	Identification of sufficient land for housing, including but not limited to, government-assisted housing, housing for low-income families, manufactured housing, multifamily housing, group homes, and foster care facilities.  RCW 36.70A.070(2)(c)	☐ No ☑ Yes Location(s) Chapter 2 Housing Element.	✓ Yes  ☐ No ☐ Further review needed Update text and tables as needed.	
d.	Adequate provisions for existing and projected housing needs for all economic segments of the community RCW 36.70A.070(2)(d) and WAC 365-196-410.	No  ✓ Yes  Location(s)  P. 2-7 discusses definitions of income groups and identifies the number of households in each group. P. 2-8 discussed the percent of income spent on housing. Special housing needs are identified in pages 2-9 to 2-11. Policy 2.3.7 address housing needs of lowincome. Policy 2.6.1 discusses funding mechanisms.	✓ Yes  ☐ No ☐ Further review needed Update text and tables as needed.	
	If enacting or expanding an affordable housing program under RCW 36.70A.540: identification of land use designations within a geographic area where increased residential development will assist in achieving local growth management and housing policies?  RCW 36.70A.540, New in 2006.WAC 365-196-870	☐ No ☑ Yes Location(s) Policies 2.5.1 to 2.6.3 address affordable housing.	✓ Yes  ☐ No ☐ Further review needed Update text and tables as needed.	
f.	Policies so that manufactured housing is not regulated differently than site built housing.  RCW 35.21.684, 35.63.160, 35A.21.312, and 36.01.225, Amended in 2004	☐ No ☐ Yes Location(s) Policy 2.5.1 and 2.7.1 address manufactured housing. CCC 40.220.010 and 40.220.020.	☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Further review needed	
g.	If the county has a population of over 125,000: provisions for accessory dwelling units (ADUs) to be allowed in single-family residential areas.  RCW 36.70A.400, RCW 43.63A.215(3)	☐ No ☑ Yes Location(s) Policy 2.7.1	☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Further review	

		in current plan or regs? If yes, note where.	ment needed to meet current statute?	considering optional amend-ments?
		<del></del>	needed	
3.	3. A Capital Facilities Plan (CFP) Element to serve as a check on the practicality of achieving other elements of the plan, covering all the capital facilities planned, provided, and paid for by public entities including local government and special districts, etc; including water systems, sanitary sewer systems, storm water facilities, schools, parks and recreational facilities, police and fire protection facilities. Capital expenditures from Park and Recreation Elements, if separate, should be included in the CFP Element. The CFP Element must be consistent with CWPPs, and RCW 36.70A.070(3), and include:			
a.	Policies or procedures to ensure capital budget decisions are in conformity with the comprehensive plan. RCW 36.70A.120	☐ No ☐ Yes  Location(s) Chapter 6 contains County-wide Planning Policies and County 20-Year Plan Policies Chapter 5 discusses levels of service and concurrency.	☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Further review needed	
b.	An <b>inventory</b> of existing capital facilities owned by public entities.  RCW 36.70A.070(3)(a) and WAC 365-196-415(2)(a)	☐ No ☑ Yes  Location(s) Chapter 6 and Appendix E, Capital Facility Plans Reviews and Analysis contain inventories of Capital Facilities owned by public entities.	✓ Yes  ☐ No ☐ Further review needed Update as needed.	
c.	A forecast of needed capital facilities.  RCW 36.70A.070(3)(b) and WAC 365-196-415(2)(b)  Note: The forecast of future need should be based on projected population and adopted levels of service (LOS) over the planning period.  Proposed locations and capacities of expanded or new	✓ No  ☐ Yes  Location(s)  Chapter 6 and Appendix E, Capital Facility Plans Reviews and Analysis contain inventories of Capital Facilities owned by public entities.  ☐ No	✓ Yes  ☐ No ☐ Further review needed Update as needed.  ✓ Yes	
<b>.</b>	capital facilities.  RCW 36.70A.070(3)(c) and WAC 365-196-415 (3)(c)	☑ Yes Location(s)	☐ No ☐ Further review needed Update as needed.	
e.	A <b>six-year plan</b> (at least) identifying sources of public money to finance planned capital facilities.	□ No ☑ Yes	☑ Yes □ No	

		Addressed in current plan or regs? If yes, note where.	Amend- ment needed to meet current statute?	Is county considering optional amend- ments?
	RCW 36.70A.070(3)(d) and RCW 36.70A.120 and WAC 365-196-415(2)(c)	Location(s) See Six Year Transportation Improvement Program and Clark County Financial Capital Facilities Plan.	☐ Further review needed Update inventory.	
f.	A policy or procedure to reassess the Land Use Element if	□ No	☐ Yes	
	probable funding falls short of meeting existing needs.	☑ Yes	☑ No	
	RCW 36.70A.070(3)(e) and WAC 365-196-415(2)(d)	Location(s) County-wide Planning Policy 6.0.7, p. 6-21.	☐ Further review needed	
g.	If impact fees are collected: identification of public facilities	□No	☑ Yes	
8.	on which money is to be spent.	✓ Yes	□ No	
	RCW 82.02.050(4) and WAC 365-196-850	Location(s)	☐ Further	
		Appendix A Transportation.	review	
		Transportation.	needed	
1	A <b>Utilities Element</b> which is consistent with relevant CWPPs a	nd PCW 26 70/	Update as needed.	includos:
4.	A Cultures Element which is consistent with relevant CWFFS at	110 NCW 30.70F	4.070(4) and	includes.
a.	The general location, proposed location and capacity of all	□No	☑ Yes	
	existing and proposed utilities.	☑ Yes	□ No	
	RCW 36.70A.070(4) and WAC 365-195-420	Location(s)	☐ Further	
		Chapter 6, p. 6-5 to 6-20, and Appendix E	review	
		discuss general location and capacity	needed	
		of existing and proposed facilities.	Update inventory.	
5.	A Rural Element consistent with RCW 36.70A.070(5), RCW 36.		36.70A.030 (	15, 16, and
	17), and should consider WAC 365-196-425. Rural lands are la	ands not includ	ed in urban g	rowth
	areas, or designated as agricultural, forest, or mineral resourc	e lands.		
a.	A Rural Element that:	□No	☐ Yes	
	<ul> <li>Establishes patterns of rural densities and uses</li> </ul>	☑ Yes	☑ No	
	considering local circumstances, including a written	Location(s)	☐ Further	
	record explaining how the rural element harmonizes the	Chapter 3 Rural and Natural Resource	review	
	planning goals of the GMA and meets the requirements	Element. Designations include	needed However, Chapter 3	
	of 36.70A.070(5);	R-5, R-10, R-20, AG, AG/WL, FR-40, FR-	updates are	
	allows rural development, forestry, and agriculture in	80, RC-1, RC-2.5, CR- 1,CR-2, IH, Surface	proposed.	
	rural areas;	Mining		
	provides for a variety of rural densities, uses, essential     public facilities and rural governments considers and	Goals and policies on p. 3-14 to 3-19.		
	public facilities and rural governments services; and	Policy 3.2.11		
l	<ul> <li>includes measures that apply to rural development and</li> </ul>	1		

		Addressed in current plan or regs? If yes, note where.	Amend- ment needed to meet current statute?	Is county considering optional amend-ments?
	protects the rural character of the area.  RCW 36.70A.030 (15)(16) and (17), RCW 36.70A.070(5);  WAC 365-196-425			
	Policies that <b>limit urban services</b> in rural areas.  RCW 36.70A.110(4)  Note: The plan may include optional techniques such as limited areas of more intensive rural development (LAMIRDs), clustering, density transfer, design guidelines, and conservation easements to accommodate rural uses not characterized by urban growth as specified in RCW 36.70A.070(5)(b), Amended in 2004. WAC 365-196-425(4)	☐ No ☑ Yes Location(s) Goals and policies on p. 3-14 to 3-19.	☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Further review needed	
6.	A <b>Transportation Element</b> which must be consistent with relevant The Transportation Element must include:	vant CWPPs ar	nd RCW 36.70	)A.070(6).
a.	An <b>inventory</b> of air, water, and ground transportation facilities and services, including transit alignments, stateowned transportation facilities, and general aviation airports. RCW 36.70A.070(6)(a)(iii)(A) and WAC 365-196-430(2)(c).	☐ NO ☐ Yes Location(s) Inventory on p. 5-3 to 5-12, and Appendix E, Capital Facility Plans Review and Analysis, p. E-46 to E-52.	Yes No Further review needed Update as needed.	
b.	Adopted <b>levels of service (LOS) standards</b> for all arterials, transit routes and highways.  RCW 36.70A.070(6)(a)(iii)(B), New in 1997 and WAC 365-196-430	□ No □ Yes Location(s) P. 5-28 to 5-31. ORD 2014-08- 09_Transportation Concurrency.	☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Further review needed	
C.	Identification of specific actions to bring locally-owned transportation facilities and services to established LOS. RCW 36.70A.070(6)(a)(iii)(D), Amended in 2005. WAC 365-196-430	□ No ☑ Yes Location(s) P. 5-28 to 5-31. ORD 2014-08- 09_Transportation Concurrency.	✓ Yes  ☐ No ☐ Further review needed Update as needed.	
	A forecast of traffic for at least 10 years, including land use assumptions used in estimating travel.  RCW 36.70A.070(6)(a)(i); RCW 36.70A.070(6)(a)(iii)(E).  WAC 365-196-430(2)(f).	☐ No ☑ Yes Location(s) P. 5-9. RTC conducted a traffic forecast through 2024.	✓ Yes  ☐ No ☐ Further review needed Update with RTC's updated 2035 Traffic Forecast.	
e.	A projection of state and local system needs to meet current	□ No	☑ Yes	

		Addressed in current plan or regs? If yes, note where.	Amend- ment needed to meet current statute?	Is county considering optional amend- ments?
	and future demand.	☑ Yes	□No	
	RCW 36.70A.070(6)(a)(iii)(F)	Location(s)	☐ Further	
	WAC 365-196-430(2)(f)	Level of service deficiencies	review	
		identified for vehicles on p. 5-11 (Table 5.6), and for Transit on p. 5-12.	needed Update to meet current and future demand.	
f.	A pedestrian and bicycle component.	□ No	☑ Yes	
	RCW 36.70A.070(6)(a)(vii), Amended 2005	☑ Yes	□ No	
	WAC 365-196-430(2)(j)	Location(s) P. 5-23.	☐ Further	
		1.5 25.	review needed	
			Update as needed.	
g.	A description of any existing and planned transportation	□ No	☑ Yes	
	demand management (TDM) strategies, such as HOV lanes	☑ Yes	□No	
	or subsidy programs, parking policies, etc.  RCW 36.70A.070(6)(a)(vi)	Location(s) P. 5-24 discusses	☐ Further	
	WAC 365-196-430(2)(i)	TDM Strategies and Commute Trip	review needed	
	W/10 303 130 430(2)(I)	Reduction and parking.	Update as needed.	
h.	An analysis of future funding capability to judge needs	□No	☑ Yes	
	against probable funding resources.	☑ Yes	□ No	
	RCW 36.70A.070(6)(a)(iv)(A)	Location(s) 20-year Capital	☐ Further	
	WAC 365.196-430(2)(k)(iv)	Facilities Plan in	review	
:	A multivear financing plan based on people identified in the	Appendix A.	needed ☑ Yes	
1.	A <b>multiyear financing plan</b> based on needs identified in the comprehensive plan, the appropriate parts of which serve as	☐ NO ☑ Yes	☑ Yes □ No	
	the basis for the 6-year street, road or transit program.	Location(s)	☐ Further	
	RCW 36.70A.070(6)(a)(iv)(B) and RCW 35.77.010.	Appendix A. 6-year	review	
	WAC 365-196-430(2)(k)(ii)	TIP is annually updated and	needed	
		adopted. 20 year transportation capital facilities	Update Appendix A, Table A-2.	
		plan supports the land use.		
j.	If probable funding falls short of meeting identified needs: a	□No	☑ Yes	
	discussion of how additional funds will be raised, or how	☑ Yes	□ No	
	land use assumptions will be reassessed to ensure that LOS	Location(s) P. 5-35 and 5-41;	☐ Further	
	standards will be met. RCW 36.70A.070(6)(a)(iv)(C)	Goals to develop a balanced finance	review	
	WAC 365-196-430(2)(I)(ii)	program.	needed Update text and	
k	A description of intergovernmental coordination efforts,	□No	Appendix A.  Yes	
	including an assessment of the impacts of the transportation	☑ Yes	☑ No	
	plan and land use assumptions on the transportation systems	Location(s)	☐ Further	

		Addressed in current plan or regs? If yes, note where.	Amend- ment needed to meet current statute?	Is county considering optional amend- ments?
	of adjacent jurisdictions and how it is consistent with the regional transportation plan. RCW 36.70A.070(6)(a)(v). WAC 365-196-430(2)(a)(iv)	County-wide Planning Policies, P 5-36 and County Transportation Goals and Policies, P 5-37.	review needed	
7.	Provisions for siting essential public facilities (EPFs), consister This section can be included in the Capital Facilities Element, La element. Sometimes the identification and siting process for EWAC 365-196-340 and 550.	and Use Eleme	ent, or in its o	
a.	A process or criteria for identifying and siting essential public facilities (EPFs).  RCW 36.70A.200, Amended in 1997 and 2001  Notes: EPFs are defined in RCW 71.09.020(14). Jurisdictions should consider OFM's list of EPFs that are required or likely to be built within the next six years. Regional Transit  Authority facilities are included in the list of essential public facilities. RCW 36.70A.200(1), amended 2010.  WAC 365-196-550(d)	☐ No ☑ Yes Location(s) Chapter 6, Policy 6.0.10 and 6.0.11 CCC Chapter 40.560, Plan and Code Amendments.	☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Further review needed	
b.	Policies or procedures that ensure the <b>comprehensive plan does not preclude the siting of EPFs.</b> RCW 36.70A.200(5); WAC 365-196-550(3)  Note: If the EPF siting process is in the CWPPs, this policy may be contained in the comprehensive plan as well.	☐ No ☑ Yes Location(s) Chapter 6, Policy 6.0.10 and 6.0.11 CCC Chapter 40.560, Plan and Code Amendments.	☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Further review needed	
8.	Consistency is required by the GMA.			
	All plan elements must be consistent with relevant countywide planning policies (CWPPs) and, where applicable, Multicounty Planning Policies (MPPs), and the GMA.  RCW 36.70A.100 and .210  WAC 365-196-400(2)(c), 305 and 520	☐ No ☑ Yes Location(s) CWPPs are included in Chapter 1-13.	☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Further review needed	
	All plan elements must be <b>consistent with each other.</b> RCW 36.70A.070 (preamble) WAC 365-197-400(2)(f)	☐ No ☑ Yes Location(s) Yes, internal consistency is explained in Community Framework Plan, p 1-15.	☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Further review needed	
C.	The plan must be coordinated with the plans of adjacent jurisdictions.  RCW 36.70A.100  WAC 365-196-520	☐ No ☑ Yes Location(s) Community	☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Further review	

		Addressed in current plan or regs? If yes, note where.	Amend- ment needed to meet current statute?	Is county considering optional amend-ments?
0	Charalina Dravisiana	discusses communities vision and plan policies for the comprehensive growth management plan.	needed Continue to coordinate the plans with adjacent jurisdictions.	
9.	Comprehensive plan acknowledges that for shorelines of the state, the goals and policies of the shoreline management act as set forth in RCW 90.58.020 are added as one of the goals of this chapter as set forth in RCW 36.70A.020 without creating an order of priority among the fourteen goals. The goals and policies of the shoreline master program approved under RCW 90.58 shall be considered an element of the comprehensive plan. RCW 36.70A.480, WAC 365-196-580	✓ No ☐ Yes Location(s) Introduction p. 1-2.	✓ Yes  ☐ No ☐ Further review needed Update introduction.	
10.	Public participation, plan amendments and monitoring.  Note: House Bill 2834, passed in 2012, eliminates the requirem to report every 5 years on its progress in implementing its com		The second secon	er the GMA
a.	A process to ensure public participation in the comprehensive planning process.  RCW 36.70A.020(11), .035, and .140; WAC 365-196-600(3)  Note: The process should address annual amendments (if the jurisdiction allows for them) [RCW 36.70A.130(2),  Amended in 2006], emergency amendments[RCW 36.70A.130(2)(b)], and may include a specialized periodic update process. Plan amendment processes may be coordinated among cities within a county [RCW 36.70A.130(2)(a)] and should be well publicized.	□ No ☑ Yes Location(s) Appendix F includes public involvement.	☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Further review needed	
b.	The plan describes the process for making amendments. RCW 36.70A.130(2)(a). Plan provides that amendments are to be considered no more often than once a year, not including the exceptions described in RCW 36.70A.130(2). WAC 365-196-640.	□ No □ Yes Location(s) Chapter 14 discusses procedural guidelines for plan amendment process. Community Planning web page lists dates and deadlines for annual plan amendments. CCC Chapter 40 560	☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Further review needed	

		Addressed in current plan or regs? If yes, note where.	Amend- ment needed to meet current statute?	Is county considering optional amend- ments?
C.	A process to assure that proposed regulatory or administrative actions do not result in an unconstitutional taking of private property. See Attorney General's Advisory Memorandum: Avoiding Unconstitutional Takings of Private Property for guidance.  RCW 36.70A.370	☐ No ☑ Yes Location(s) Chapter 14 Procedural Guidelines, CCC Subtitle 40.5 Procedures.	☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Further review needed	

## II. Required Components of Development Regulations: WAC 365-196-810

11.	11. Regulations designating and protecting critical areas are required by RCW 36.70A.170, RCW 36.70A.060(2) and RCW 36.70A.172(1). <i>Note</i> : A voluntary stewardship program was created in ESHB 1886 (2011) as an alternative for protecting critical areas in areas used for agricultural activities. Counties may choose to opt into this voluntary program before January 22, 2012. Click <i>here</i> for the				
	requirements of the voluntary stewardship program.				
a.	Classification and designation of each of the five types of critical areas (wetlands, critical aquifer recharge areas, fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas, frequently flooded areas, and geologically hazardous areas), if they are found within your county.  RCW 36.70A.170 and RCW 36.70A.190; WAC 365-196-830(2)  Note: Senate Bill 5292 adopted in 2012 clarified that certain water-based artificial features or constructs are excluded from being considered part of a fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas.	NO Ves Location(s) CCC Chapter 40.410 Critical Aquifer Recharge Areas CCC Chapter 40.410 Flood Hazard Areas CCC Chapter 40.430 Geologic Hazard Areas CCC Chapter 40.440 Habitat Conservation CCC Chapter 40.450 Wetland Protection.	☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Further review needed		
b.	Findings that demonstrate <b>Best Available Science (BAS)</b> was	□ No	☐ Yes		
	included in developing policies and regulations to protect the	☑ Yes	☑ No		
	function and values of critical areas. In addition, finding should document giving special consideration to conservation or protection measures necessary to preserve or enhance anadromous fisheries.  RCW 36.70A.172(1); WAC 365-195	Location(s) CCC Section 40.440.020 CCC Section 40.450.010.	☐ Further review needed		
c.	Regulations that protect the functions and values of	□ No	☐ Yes		
	wetlands.	☑ Yes	☑ No		
	RCW 36.70A.060(2) and RCW 36.70A.172(1)	Location(s)	☐ Further		
	WAC 365-190-090	CCC Chapter 40.450 Wetland Protection	review		
		vvetianu Protection	needed		
d.	A <b>definition of wetlands</b> consistent with RCW	□ No	☐ Yes		

		Addressed in current plan or regs? If yes, note where.	Amend- ment needed to meet current statute?	Is county considering optional amend-ments?
	36.70A.030(21) WAC 365-190-090, WAC 173-22-035	✓ Yes Location(s) ccc Section 40.100.070.	☑ No □ Further review needed	
e.	<b>Delineation of wetlands</b> using the approved federal wetlands delineation manual and applicable regional supplements RCW 36.70A.175, RCW 90.58.380, WAC 173-22-035	☐ No ☑ Yes Location(s) ccc Section 40.450.030 (D). Wetland Delineation.	☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Further review needed	
f.	Regulations that protect the functions and values of <b>critical</b> aquifer recharge areas ("areas with a critical recharging effect on aquifers used for potable water" RCW 36.70A.030(5)(b)).  RCW 36.70A.060(2), RCW 36.70A.172(1), WAC 365-190-100	☐ NO ☑ Yes Location(s) CCC Chapter 40.410 Critical Aquifer Recharge Areas.	☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Further review needed	
g.	Regulations to protect the <b>quality and quantity of ground water</b> used for public water supplies.  RCW 36.70A.070(1)	☐ No ☑ Yes Location(s) CCC Chapter 40.410 Critical Aquifer Recharge Areas.	☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Further review needed	
h.	Regulations that protect the functions and values of <b>fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas.</b> In addition, counties shall give special consideration to conservation or protection measures necessary to preserve or enhance <b>anadromous fisheries</b> .  RCW 36.70A.060(2) and RCW 36.70A.172(1); WAC 365-195-925(3), 365-190-130	☐ NO ☑ Yes Location(s) CCC Chapter 40.440 Habitat Conservation CCC Chapter 40.460 Shoreline Master Program.	☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Further review needed	
i.	Regulations that protect the functions and values of frequently flooded areas.  RCW 36.70A.060(2) and RCW 36.70A.172(1)  WAC 365-190-110, WAC 173-158-040	☐ No ☑ Yes Location(s) CCC Chapter 40.420 Flood Hazard Areas.	☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Further review needed	
j.	Definition of "fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas" does not include such artificial features or constructs as irrigation delivery systems, irrigation infrastructure, irrigation canals, or drainage ditches that lie within the boundaries of and are maintained by a port district or an irrigation district or company.  RCW 36.70A.030(5) <b>New in 2012</b> .	☐ No ☑ Yes Location(s) ccc Section 40.440.010 (C).	☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Further review needed	

		Addressed in current plan or regs? If yes, note where.	Amend- ment needed to meet current statute?	Is county considering optional amend- ments?
	Provisions to ensure water quality and stormwater drainage regulations are consistent with applicable Land Use Element policies.  RCW 36.70A.070(1), WAC 365-196-405	☐ No ☑ Yes Location(s) ccc Chapter 40.386 Stormwater and Erosion Control. ☐ No	☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Further review needed ☐ Yes	
I.	Regulation of <b>geologically hazardous areas</b> consistent with public health and safety concerns.  RCW 36.70A.030(9), RCW 36.70A.060(2) and RCW 36.70A.172(1)  WAC 365-190-120	✓ Yes Location(s) CCC Chapter 40.430 Geologic Hazard Areas.	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Further review needed	
m.	Provisions that allow "reasonable use" of properties constrained by presence of critical areas.  RCW 36.70A.370. See Attorney General's Advisory  Memorandum: Avoiding Unconstitutional Takings of Private  Property for guidance.	☐ NO ☐ Yes  Location(s) CCC 40.420.020 (A) CCC 40.430.010 (F) CCC 40.440.020 (B) CCC 40.450.010 (B) (4) CCC 40.460.530 (A) (10) CCC Section 40.460.260 Shoreline Variance.	☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Further review needed	
n.	If your county is assuming regulation of forest practices as provided in RCW 76.09.240: forest practices regulations that protect public resources, require appropriate approvals for all phases of conversion of forest lands, are guided by GMA planning goals, and are consistent with adopted critical areas regulations.  RCW 36.70A.570, Amended in 2007, 2010 and RCW 76.09.240 Amended in 2007, 2010.  Note: Applies only to counties fully planning under the GMA with a population greater than 100,000 and the cities and towns within those counties where a certain number of Class IV applications have been filed within a certain timeframe.	No  ✓ Yes  Location(s)  CCC Section 40.210.010 Forest, Agriculture and Agricultural- Wildlife Districts.	☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Further review needed	
	. Shoreline Master Program  e Washington State Department of Ecology's SMP Submittal Che	ecklist		
	<b>Zoning</b> is consistent with Shoreline Master Program (SMP) environmental designations.  RCW 36.70A.070; RCW 36.70A.480  WAC 365-196-580	☐ No ☑ Yes Location(s) ccc Section 40.460.430 Shoreline Designations.	☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Further review needed	

		Addressed in current plan or regs? If yes, note where.	Amend- ment needed to meet current statute?	Is county considering optional amend-ments?
	If SMP regulations have been updated to meet Ecology's shoreline regulations and approved by Ecology: protection for critical areas in shorelines is accomplished solely through the SMP. The exception is where buffers are not adequate to protect critical areas. RCW 36.70A.480(4), Amended in 2003, 2010 and RCW 90.58.090(4). WAC 365-196-580	☐ No ☑ Yes Location(s) CCC Chapter 40.460 Shoreline Master Program.	☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Further review needed	
	3. The Zoning Code:	□ No	□ Vaa	
а.	Family daycare providers are allowed in areas zoned for residential or commercial uses. Zoning conditions should be no more restrictive than those imposed on other residential dwellings in the same zone, but may address drop-off and pickup areas and hours of operation.  RCW 36.70A.450, RCW 74.15.020(1)(f); WAC 365-196-865	☐ No ☐ Yes Location(s) CCC Chapter 40.220 Urban Residential Districts CCC Chapter 40.230 Commercial, Business, Mixed Use and Industrial Districts.	☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Further review needed	
b.	Manufactured housing is regulated the same as site-built housing. [RCW 35.21.684, 35.63.160, 35A.21.312 and 36.01.225, All Amended in 2004	☐ No ☑ Yes Location(s) CCC Chapter 40.220 Urban Residential Districts.	☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Further review needed	
C.	If the county has a population of over 125,000, accessory dwelling units (ADUs) are allowed in single-family residential areas.  RCW 43.63A.215(3)	☐ No ☑ Yes Location(s) CCC Chapter 40.220 Urban Residential Districts.	☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Further review needed	
d.	If there is an airport within or adjacent to the city: zoning that discourages the siting of incompatible uses adjacent to general aviation airports. RCW 36.70.547, New in 1996).  Note: The zoning regulations must be filed with the Aviation Division of WSDOT.  RCW 36.70A.510, RCW 36.70.547; WAC 365-196-455	☐ No ☐ Yes Location(s) CCC Section 40.250.010 Airport Environs Overlay Districts.	☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Further review needed	
	If there is a Military Base within or adjacent to the jurisdiction employing 100 or more personnel: zoning that discourages the siting of incompatible uses adjacent to military bases.  RCW 36.70A.530(3), New in 2004. WAC 365-196-475  Residential structures that are occupied by persons with	✓ No ☐ Yes Location(s) No military base in or adjacent to Clark County. ☐ No	☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Further review needed ☐ Yes	
	<b>handicaps</b> are regulated the same as a similar residential structure occupied by a family or other unrelated individuals.	✓ Yes Location(s)	☑ No □ Further	

		Addressed in current plan or regs? If yes, note where.	Amend- ment needed to meet current statute?	Is county considering optional amend- ments?
	RCW 36.70A.410, WAC 365-196-860	CCC Chapter 40.220 Urban Residential Districts CCC Section 40.210.030 Rural Center Residential Districts.	review needed	
_	A variety of rural densities and innovative techniques that protect rural character.	□ No ☑ Yes	☑ Yes □ No	
	RCW 36.70A.070(5)(b)and (c) WAC 365-196-425(5)	Location(s) CCC Section 40.210.010.	☐ Further review	
		40.210.010.	needed Update CCC Section 40.210.010 to include cluster provisions.	
h.	Zoning consistent with natural resource lands designations in	□ No	☑ Yes	
	the comprehensive plan.	☑ Yes	□ No	
	RCW 36.70A.060(3), RCW 36.70A.030(2),(8),and (10),	Location(s)	☐ Further	
	WAC 365-196-800, WAC 365-196-815, WAC 365-190(020)(6).	CCC Section 40.210.010 Forest, Agriculture and Agricultural- Wildlife Districts. CCC Section 40.250.022 Surface Mining Overlay District.	review needed Update CCC Section 40.210.010, Agriculture and Forest zoning to allow clustering.	
i.	Regulations to conserve natural resource lands.	□ No	☑ Yes	
	RCW 36.70A.060(1)(a)	☑ Yes	□ No	
	WAC 365-196-800	Location(s) CCC Section 40.210.010 Forest, Agriculture and Agricultural- Wildlife Districts. CCC Section 40.250.022 Surface Mining Overlay District.	Further review needed Update to allow for new clustering provisions.	
j.	Regulations that encourage nonagricultural uses to be	□No	☑ Yes	
	limited to lands with poor soils or otherwise not suitable for agricultural purposes with the exception of accessory uses.	☑ Yes Location(s)	□ No □ Further	
	Accessory uses located, designed and operated so as to not	CCC Section	review	
	interfere with, and to support the continuation of, the overall agricultural use. Accessory uses consistent with RCW	40.210.010	needed Update to allow for new clustering provisions.	
k.	36.70A.177(3)(b). New in 2004, amended in 2006.  Regulations to assure that the use of lands adjacent to	□ No	✓ Yes	
٨.	natural resource lands shall not interfere with natural	☐ NO  ✓ Yes	☑ Yes □ No	
	resource production.	Location(s)	☐ Further	
	RCW 36.70A.060(1)(a), WAC 365-190, WAC 365-196-815	CCC Section 40.210.010 Forest.	review	

		Addressed in current plan or regs? If yes, note where.	Amend- ment needed to meet current statute?	Is county considering optional amend- ments?
		Agriculture and Agriculture-Wildlife Districts	needed Update to allow for new clustering provisions.	
l.	Regulations that require <b>notice on all development permits</b>	□ No	☐ Yes	
	and plats within 500 feet of designated natural resource	☑ Yes	☑ No	
	lands that the property is within or near a designated natural	Location(s)	☐ Further	
	resource land on which a variety of commercial activities	CCC Section 40.510.010 (C) (4)	review	
	may occur that are not compatible with residential	(a) CCC Section	needed	
	development for certain periods of limited duration and that	40.510.020 (D) (5)(a)		
	an application might be made for mining-related activities?	CCC Section 40.510.030 (D) (7)		
	RCW 36.70A.060(1)(b), Amended from 300 to 500 ft in 1998.	(a).		
m.	Mineral lands designations and regulations reviewed as	□No	☐ Yes	
	required by RCW 36.70A.131.	☑ Yes	☑No	
	Note: For more information, contact DNR's Geology Division	Location(s)	☐ Further	
	at (360) 902-1439 or review DNR's earth resource mapping. WAC 365-190-040(5)	Surface Mining Overlay District.	review needed	
n	Cities adjacent to I-5, I-90, I-405, or SR 520 and counties	□ No	☐ Yes	
n.	for lands within 1 mile of these highways must adopt	☑ Yes	☑ No	
	regulations that allow electric vehicle infrastructure (EVI) as	Location(s)	☐ Further	
	a use in all areas except those zoned for residential or	CCC 40.260.075	review	
	resource use, or critical areas by July 1, 2011.	Electric Vehicle Infrastructure	needed	
	RCW 36.70A.695, <b>New in 2009.</b>			
0.	Development regulations of all jurisdictions must allow	□No	☐ Yes	
	electric vehicle battery charging stations in all areas except	☑ Yes	☑ No	
	those zoned for residential or resource use, or critical areas	Location(s)	☐ Further	
	by July 1, 2011.	CCC 40.260.075 Electric Vehicle	review	
	RCW 36.70A.695, New in 2009.	Infrastructure.	needed	
14.	Subdivision Code regulations			
a.	Subdivision code is <b>consistent with comprehensive plan</b>	□ No	□ Yes	
	policies.	☑ Yes	☑ No	
	RCW 36.70A.030(7)and 36.70A.040(4)(d), WAC 365-196-820	Location(s)	☐ Further	
		40.540.040	review	
<b>L</b>	Codo requires unitas a findinas de consentina that una que d	Subdivisions.	needed	
D.	Code requires written findings documenting that proposed	□ No ☑ Yes	□ Yes ☑ No	
	subdivisions provide appropriate provision under RCW		☑ No □ Further	
	58.17.110(2)(a) for: Streets or roads, sidewalks, alleys, other public ways, transit stops, and other features that	Location(s) CCC Section	review	
	assure safe walking conditions for students; potable water	40.540.040 Subdivisions.	needed	
	supplies [RCW 19.27.097], sanitary wastes, and drainage		necueu	
	Sapplies [New 15.27.057], Saintary wastes, and aramage			

		Addressed in current plan or regs? If yes, note where.	Amend- ment needed to meet current statute?	Is county considering optional amend-ments?
	ways (stormwater retention and detention); open spaces, parks and recreation, and playgrounds; and schools and school grounds. WAC 365-196-820(1)			
c.	Preliminary subdivision approvals under RCW 58.17.140 are valid for a period of five or seven years (previously five years).  RCW 58.17.140 and RCW 58.17.170.  [Amended 2010 by SB 6544 and in 2012 by HB 2152.  Note: Preliminary plat approval is valid for: seven years if the date of preliminary plat approval is on or before December 31, 2014; five years if the preliminary plat approval is issued on or after January 1, 2015; and nine years if the project is located within city limits, not subject to the shoreline management act, and the preliminary plat is approved on or before December 31, 2007.	☐ No ☑ Yes Location(s) ccc Chapter 40.510.	☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Further review needed	
15	. Concurrency , Impact Fees, and TDM			
a.	The <b>transportation concurrency</b> ordinance includes specific language that prohibits development when level of service standards for transportation facilities cannot be met. RCW 36.70A.070(6)(b) WAC 365-196-840	☐ No ☐ Yes Location(s) CCC Section 40.350.020 Transportation Concurrency Management System.	☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Further review needed	
b.	If adopted: <b>impact fee methods</b> are consistent with RCW 82.02.050 through 100.  Note: The timeframe for expending or encumbering impact fees has been extended to ten years. [RCW 82.02.070 and RCW 82.02.080, Amended in 2011; WAC 365-196-850	☐ No ☑ Yes Location(s) ccc Subtitle 40.6.	✓ Yes  ☐ No ☐ Further review needed Update CCC Section 40.630.020 and 40.620.020.	
	If required by RCW 70.94.527: a commute trip reduction ordinance to reduce the proportion of single-occupant vehicle commute trips.  RCW 70.94.521-551, Amended in 2006; WAC 468-63  Note: WSDOT maintains a list of affected jurisdictions	☐ No ☑ Yes Location(s) CCC Chapter 5.50 Commute Reduction.	☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Further review needed	
d.	Development regulations may implement traffic demand management (TDM) policies.  RCW 36.70A.070(6)(a)(vi)  WAC 365-196-840(4)	☐ No ☑ Yes Location(s) ccc Chapter 40.350	☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Further review	

	Addressed	Amend-	Is county
	in current	ment	•
	plan or	needed to	considering optional
	•	meet	amend-
	regs? If yes, note where.	current	ments?
	note where.	statute?	ments:
		statute:	
	Transportation and		
	Circulation.	needed	
16. Siting Essential Public Facilities (EPFs)			
Regulations are consistent with Essential Public Facility siting	□ No	☐ Yes	
process in countywide planning policies or city comprehensive	☑ Yes	☑ No	
plan, and do not preclude the siting of EPFs.	Location(s)	☐ Further	
RCW 36.70A.200(5), WAC 365-196-550	CCC Chapter 40.230 Commercial,	review	
	Business, Mixed Use and Industrial	needed	
	Districts.		
17. Project Review Procedures			
Project review processes integrate permit and environmental	□ No	☐ Yes	
review for: notice of application; notice of complete	☑ Yes	☑ No	
application; one open-record public hearing; allowing	Location(s)	☐ Further	
applicants to combine public hearings and decisions for	CCC Subtitle 40.5 Procedures.	review	
multiple permits; notice of decision; one closed-record appeal.		needed	
RCW 36.70A.470, RCW 36.70B and RCW 43.21C			
WAC 365-196-845			
18. General Provisions The GMA requires that development regula	ations be cons	istent with ar	nd
implement the comprehensive plan. [RCW 36.70A.030(7) and	.040(4)(d). WA	AC 365-196-8	10
a. A process for early and continuous public participation in	□ No	☐ Yes	
the development regulation development and amendment	☑ Yes	☑ No	
process. RCW 36.70A.020(11),.035, .130 and .140.	Location(s)	☐ Further	
	CCC Subtitle 40.5 Procedures.	review	
		needed	
b. A process to assure that proposed regulatory or	□ No	☐ Yes	
administrative actions do not result in an unconstitutional	☑ Yes	☑ No	
taking of private property.	Location(s)	☐ Further	
RCW 36.70A.370, WAC 365-196-855	CCC Subtitle 40.5 Procedures.	review	
Note: See Attorney General's Advisory Memorandum:		needed	
Avoiding Unconstitutional Takings of Private Property.			

This checklist covers the requirements of the Growth Management Act through the laws of 2012. It does not address related issues, or things that are not specifically required but that are commonly found in comprehensive plans and the implementing regulations. It may be useful to look at the expanded checklists (one for comprehensive plans, one for development regulations) and the Growth Management Act Amendment Changes 1995-2012 (amended annually). For more information, please visit:

http://www.commerce.wa.gov/Services/localgovernment/GrowthManagement/Growth-Management-Planning-Topics/Pages/GMA-Periodic-Update.aspx