

# Clark County Public Works

## Bid Inquiry Log

Last Update: April 8, 2016

The last Bid Inquiry Log update will be 5 PM, the day before the bid opening and will display all questions and answers to the questions since that have accumulated by that time. The questions and answers posted on the Bid Inquiry Log at that time will be considered part of the contract and ranked as an Addendum with respect to order of precedence under Section 1-04.2 of the Standard Specification. Questions too late to be answered as of that update will remain unanswered and will not be included in the Log.

<b>Project CRP #:</b>	<b>380622</b>
<b>Title:</b>	<b>Cedar Creek Bridge Replacement</b>
<b>Engineer In Charge:</b>	<b>Bart Arthur</b>

---

**Date:** 3/23/16

**Question #1:** Page 247 of the specs show the mulch for bid item #56 at two different rates of application. One calls for two applications of 2000 lbs per acre then in the next sentence it calls for 3500 lbs per acre total.  
Can you please clarify this?

**Reference:** See question

**Answer:** Addendum 1 will change the lbs per acre to 4000.

---

**Date:** 3/23/16

**Question #2:** There are three as-builts which show the existing bridge. The quality of these prints are not the best on BXWA and the dimensions of the existing bridge along with the quantities are not readable. Is there a way that you could provide clearer drawings, even if that means hand writing the dimensions of what is legible on your set of prints?

**Reference:** Unanswered

**Answer:** Digital pictures of the existing plans will be posted to builders exchange.

---

**Date:** 3/29/16

**Question #3:** Please provide any flow data, water elevation, and water depths for Cedar Creek during the stream diversion timeframe. The Specifications say to prepare for a flow of 150 CFS, but what elevation does this correspond to? This information is necessary in order to figure out the height of the diversion structure. Thanks.

**Reference:** Unanswered

**Answer:** Addendum #1 modified this specification. Two links are provided to data sources for flow data for your use.

<https://fortress.wa.gov/ecy/eap/flows/station.asp?sta=27E100>

<http://wa.water.usgs.gov/data/realtime/adr/interactive/index2.html>

Clark County makes no assertions about the interpretation of this data.

---

**Date:** 4/5/16

**Question #4:** On plan sheet S9 there is a note that states the pipe piles to be driven to a nominal capacity of 900 kips, based on PDA testing. Is the 900 kips the ultimate bearing or is this the factored capacity based on PDA testing? For example, if the 900 kips is factored based on PDA testing, then the ultimate bearing would really be 1170 kips. Also, there is not a bid item for PDA Testing nor is it stated in the specials...is PDA Testing required?

**Reference:** Plan Sheet S9 and SP Section 6-05

**Answer:**

- The 900kips nominal is intended to be equal to an ultimate bearing capacity.
- PDA testing is required. PDA testing of 3 piles (north, middle, and south) is acceptable. PDA testing is intended to be incidental to the other piling items.

---

**Date:** 4/5/16

**Question #6:** Can you verify the Conc. Class 4000 Substructure quantity...we calculate less than the bid item shows?

**Reference:** Unanswered

**Answer:** See addendum #1 the quantity was reduced

---

**Date:** 4/5/16

**Question #7:** On plan sheet S3, the dimension for Pier 1 footing width shows it at 11'-0", however, on plan sheet S5, it shows it as 12'-6". Which is correct?

**Reference:** Plan Sheet S3 & S5

**Answer:** The 12'-6" dimension on S5 is correct.

---

**Date:** 4/6/16

**Question #8:** The bid quantity for Structure Excavation Class A appear to include the excavation for the SEW Wall. The Special Provisions state that the excavation is to be included in the SEW Wall bid item. How is the excavation going to be paid for?

**Reference:** SP Page 231, Section 6-13.

**Answer:** Addendum 2 makes SEW wall excavation part of Structure Excavation Class A

---

**Date:** 4/6/16

**Question #9:** We are unable to download the addendum No. 1 cover sheet from the bxwa website. We were able to access the Addendum 1 plans only.

**Reference:** Unanswered

**Answer:** Questioner resolved the issue

---

**Date:** 4/7/2016

**Question #10:** Bid Item 59 is listed on the proposal as 1747 SF. It appears from the special provision description and a take-off of the plans that it should be 1747 SY. Should we bid the item per the square foot as it shows on the proposal?

**Reference:** Unanswered

**Answer:** Addendum #2 changes the units to SY in the proposal form

---

**Date:** 4/8/2016

**Question #11:** Please verify the sales tax rule that applies to this project?

**Reference:** 1-07.2 State Taxes

**Answer:** We interpret the question to be asking if the standard specification section 1-07.2(1) applies? – yes

---

**Date:**

**Question #12:**

**Reference:**

**Answer:**

---

**Date:**

**Question #13:**

**Reference:**

**Answer:**

---