



- Reflecting prior agreements between Oregon and Washington the costs for the design and construction of the I-5 replacement bridge should be shared equally between Oregon and Washington. The costs for the roadway and interchanges in each state would be covered by the respective state. For the HCT capital, operation, and maintenance costs the proportions shall be calculated by dividing the length of the HCT corridor in Washington and the length of the HCT corridor in Oregon, as determined by the State DOT's acknowledged state line in the Columbia River, but the total length of the HCT corridor from the Expo Center Station to the terminus in Clark County.
 - Given the projected inequity between States in the funding derived from tolls, we ask that the oversight committee consider alternate methods to achieve greater funding equity, such as providing Washington residents working in Oregon a deduction on their Oregon Income Taxes for tolls paid.
- A detailed financing plan including costs and sources of revenue must be proposed and presented to partner agencies and the public.
- With regards to possible tolling as a revenue source for the CRC Project, we give the following direction:
 - First, set up a process that works with and educates the public on potential tolls, modeled after (and learning from) the tolling implementation committee created by the Legislature and Governor in House Bill 3096 (creating the same for tolling the SR-520 bridge and reporting to the Governor and Legislature by January 2009)
 - Second, limit the costs of tolls to funding for the local share of the construction costs of the CRC Project within the Bridge Influence Area, and only after all other sources of Federal and State revenue are exhausted.
- Any means chosen to finance operations of the HCT component of the CRC project shall be submitted to impacted C-TRAN voters for approval
- The design of the highway interchanges, bridge, and transit facilities should reflect the principles of sustainability, cost efficiency and context sensitivity. Further analysis should be undertaken of the greenhouse gases from the project.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the RTC Metropolitan Transportation Plan be amended to include the Locally Preferred Alternative as stated herein.

ADOPTED by the RTC Board of Directors this 22nd day of July 2008.



Royce E. Pollard, Chair of the Board

ATTEST:


Dean Lookingbill, RTC Transportation Director

Attachment: RTC Board Memorandum "2008 Metropolitan Transportation Plan Amendment: Columbia River Crossing Locally Preferred Alternative"