Notice of Type II Development Review Application and Optional SEPA Determination of Non-Significance

The Clark County Department of Community Development has received an application for development review, as described below. Based on a review of the submitted application materials, the county expects to issue a Determination of Non-Significance (DNS) for the proposal allowed by state law and Clark County Code, Section 40.570.040(E) – Optional DNS Process. As lead agency, the county has determined that the requirements for environmental analysis, protection, and mitigation measures are adequately addressed in the development regulations and comprehensive plan adopted under Chapter 36.70A RCW, and in other applicable local, state, or federal laws and rules, as provided by RCW 43.21.240 and WAC 197-11-158. Our agency will not require any additional mitigation measures under SEPA. The proposal may include mitigation under applicable codes and the project review.

Comments received within the deadline will be considered in the review of the proposal. Your response to this notice may be your only opportunity to comment on the environmental impacts of this proposal. No additional comment period will be provided unless probable significant environmental impacts are identified during the review process, which would require additional study or special mitigation. The proposal may include mitigation under applicable codes and the project review process may incorporate or require mitigation measures regardless of whether an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) is prepared.

Date of this notice: August 25, 2020

Closing date for public comments: September 9, 2020 – fifteen days from notice

Information regarding this application can be obtained by contacting the staff contact person listed below or in person at the Community Development Permit Center, 1300 Franklin Street, first floor, Vancouver, Washington, 98660.

Project Name: Gary & Carolyn Johnson Short Plat

Case Number: PLD-2020-00069

Request: The applicant is requesting Short Plat Review approval to divide 10 acres into two (2) single-family residential lots located in the R-5 zone district.

Location: 30812 SE Krohn Road
Applicant: Gary Johnson
30812 SE Krohn Road
Washougal, WA 98671
(503)849-2540
gsssupply@gmail.com

Contact Person: Cameron Johnson
30812 SE Krohn Road
Washougal, WA 98671
(360)949-3626
cwjohnson@gmail.com

Property Owner: Gary & Carolyn Johnson
30812 SE Krohn Road
Washougal, WA 98671

Comp Plan Designation: R-5

Parcel numbers: 142615000

Township: 2 Range: 4 Section: NE ¼ 31

Neighborhood Contact: Neighborhood Associations Council of Clark County (NACCC)
Stephan Abramson, Chair
abramson@lifescipartners.net
360.574.3363

Staff Contact: Angie Merrill
564.397.4028
angie.merrill@clark.wa.gov

Applicable code sections
Clark County Code: Title 15 (Fire Prevention), 40.200 (General Provisions), 40.210.020 (Rural Residential Zoning District), 40.540.030 (Short Plat), 40.350 (Transportation & Circulation), 40.350.020 (Transportation Concurrency), 40.370 (Sewer & Water), 40.386 (Storm Water & Erosion Control), 40.340 (Geologic Hazard), 40.440 (Habitat Conservation), 40.450 (Wetland Protection), 40.500 and 40.510 (Procedures), 40.610 (Impact Fees), Title 24 (Public Health), RCW 58.17 State Platting Laws, and the Clark County Comprehensive Plan.

Application Filing date: July 1, 2020
Fully Complete date: August 13, 2020

Public Comment
The public is encouraged to comment on this proposal. Comments received by the closing date noted below will be considered in the staff report. This notice is intended to inform potentially interested parties about the application and invite written comments regarding any concerns.
Public Comment Deadline:  September 9, 2020

In person:  The Community Development Permit Center is located in the Public Service Center, first floor, 1300 Franklin Street, Vancouver, Washington 98660.

Mail:  Attn: Angie Merrill
Department of Community Development
P.O. Box 9810
Vancouver, WA. 98666-9810

An accurate mailing address for those mailing comments must be included or they will not qualify as a "Party of Record" and, therefore, will not have standing to appeal the decision.

Email:  angie.merrill@clark.wa.gov

SEPA Options
As lead agency under the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) Rules [Chapter 197-11, Washington Administrative Code (WAC)], Clark County must determine if there are possible significant adverse environmental impacts associated with this proposal. The options include the following:

- **DS - Determination of Significance:** The impacts cannot be mitigated through conditions of approval and, therefore, requiring the preparation of an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS);

- **MDNS - Mitigated Determination of Non-Significance:** The impacts can be addressed through conditions of approval, or;

- **DNS - Determination of Non-Significance:** The impacts can be addressed by applying the Clark County Code.

Responsible Official:  Dan Young, Community Development Director

Timelines and Process
Decisions on Type II applications are made within 78 calendar days of the Fully Complete date (noted above), unless placed on hold for the submittal of additional information.

Community Development Web site - [www.clark.wa.gov/development](http://www.clark.wa.gov/development)
- Weekly Preliminary Plan Review Status Report - includes current applications
- Pre-Application Conferences and Land Use Hearing agendas
- Applications and handouts for each type of land use permit

Appeals
The responsible official’s decision on the application may be appealed to the Hearing Examiner by the applicant or any person or group that qualifies as a “Party of Record.” To qualify as a party of record, you must have submitted written comments or a written request to be identified as a Party of Record within the comment deadline.
An accurate mailing address for those submitting comments must be included or they will not qualify as a "Party of Record" and, therefore, will not have standing to appeal the decision. An appellant must submit an appeal application and appeal fee within 14 calendar days after the written notice of the decision is mailed.

Refer to the *Appeals* handout for more information and fees.

**SEPA Appeal**

A **procedural SEPA appeal** is an appeal of the determination (i.e., determination of significance, determination of non-significance, or mitigated determination of non-significance).

A **substantive SEPA appeal** is an appeal of the conditions required to mitigate for probable significant issues not adequately addressed by existing Clark County Code or other law.

A **procedural or substantive appeal** must be filed within fourteen (14) calendar days of this determination, together with the appeal fee. Such appeals will be considered at a scheduled public hearing and decided by the Hearing Examiner in a subsequent written decision.

**Attachments**

- Proposed project site/land division plan
- Map of property owners receiving notice
Distribution
This notice is being provided to the following agencies with jurisdiction whose services may be impacted by implementation of this proposal:

Federal Agencies: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers - Enforcement Division

Tribes: Cowlitz Indian Tribe
Yakama Nation
Chinook Tribe

State Agencies: Department of Natural Resources (S.W. Region)
Department of Ecology
Department of Fish and Wildlife
Department of Transportation

Regional Agencies: Fort Vancouver Regional Library
Southwest Clean Air Agency
Vancouver-Clark Parks & Recreation

Local Agencies: Clark County Community Development
Land Use Review
Fire Marshal's Office
Clark County Public Health
Clark County Public Works
Development Engineering
Transportation Division
Clark County Conservation District
Clark County Water Resource Council
City of Vancouver Transportation

Special Purpose Agencies: Fire Protection District #
Clark Public Utilities
Clark Regional Wastewater District/City of Vancouver Water/Sewer

The Media
The Columbian
The Oregonian
The Reflector
Vancouver Business Journal
The Post Record

Other: Applicant
Clark County Neighbors
Clark County Natural Resources Council
Clark County Citizens United
C-Tran
Neighborhood Association

Additional attachment for agencies:
- SEPA checklist
State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) Review

What is the purpose of SEPA?
The purpose of the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) is to ensure that governmental agencies consider the environmental impacts of a proposal before making a decision. It also requires the responsible official to make a threshold determination as to whether a proposal has a "probable significant, adverse environmental impact" requiring an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) to be prepared. In conjunction with this act are required information submittals, public notices, and timelines.

Who is the responsible official?
For public proposals, the head of the lead department or division making the proposal shall be the responsible official. Whenever possible, agency people carrying out SEPA procedures should be separate from agency people making the proposal. An example of a project would include changes to the County Comprehensive Plan or Code, where the responsible official would be the Community Planning Director.

For private proposals, the head of the department or division with primary responsibility for approving or processing the permits and licenses for the proposal shall be the responsible official. An example would include the review of a subdivision or site plan where the responsible official would be the Development Services Program Manager.

How is a SEPA threshold determination made?
First, the lead agency (i.e., Clark County) must determine if SEPA rules apply to a particular proposal. Clark County has identified the following proposals that are exempt from environmental review under SEPA:

1. For residential structures:
   - Up to 30 single family dwelling units within unincorporated urban areas;
   - Up to 20 single family dwelling units within designated urban reserve and rural areas;
   - Up to 60 multifamily dwelling units within unincorporated urban areas

2. For agricultural structures less than 40,000 square feet in size;

3. For office, school, commercial, recreational, service or storage buildings but not including manufacturing buildings:
   - Within unincorporated urban areas:
     - Up to 30,000 square feet of gross floor area;
     - Up to 90 associated or stand-alone parking spaces;
   - Within designated urban reserve and rural areas:
     - Up to 12,000 square feet of gross floor area;
     - Up to 40 associated or stand-alone parking spaces.
4. For landfills and excavations not associated with an exempt project in numbers 1-3 above, up to 1,000 cubic yards.

When any of the above proposals are subject to a critical area permit, the proposal is not exempt from a SEPA review. Critical areas include:

- Critical Aquifer Recharge Area (CARA)
- Columbia River Gorge
- Forestry
- Geological
- Floodplain
- Habitat
- Historic
- SEPA
- Shoreline (except certain qualifying Shorelines Exemptions)
- Wetland

One decided that SEPA applies, the lead agency (i.e., Clark County), must determine if there are possible significant adverse environmental impacts associated with each proposal. The options include the following:

- **DS = Determination of Significance.** The impacts cannot be mitigated through conditions of approval; thus, requiring the preparation of an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS);

- **MDNS = Mitigated Determination of Non-Significance.** The impacts can be addressed through conditions of approval; or,

- **DNS = Determination of Non-Significance.** The impacts can be addressed by applying the Clark County Code.

The county's threshold determination is based upon information provided from the applicant (i.e., a completed Environmental Checklist), and knowledge of the area and applicable codes.

**What are the SEPA public notice and comment period requirements?**

For a DNS or MDNS, the county must make a threshold determination, or request additional information within 15 calendar days of determining if the application for the development proposal is Fully Complete meaning that all required information has been submitted. The county may wait up to 30 days for any requested additional information. However, the county must complete the threshold determination within 15 calendar days of receiving the requested information.

Once a DNS or MDNS is made, the county sends the DNS, environmental checklist and other supporting documents out for a 14 day review and comment period. The notice goes to the Department of Ecology, affected tribes, and other local agencies whose public services would be changed as a result of implementation of the proposal.

Once the comment period closes, the county may reconsider the DNS or MDNS based upon comments received, and may retain, modify or withdraw the DNS or MDNS. Any modifications must be sent to the agencies, but does not require a new comment period.

An Optional DNS process allows the county to issue an Expected DNS, which begins the comment period upon issuance of the notice of application. This option shortens the review time by about 14 calendar days and is used by the county for most Type II reviews.

**What happens if there is a Determination of Significance (DS)?**

If the responsible official determines that a proposal may have a probable significant adverse environmental impact, a determination of significance shall be
prepared. The DS will call for the
development of an Environmental Impact
Statement (EIS) that will analyze only those
probable adverse environmental impacts
that are significant. A notice for soliciting
comments on the scope of the EIS shall also
be issued to agencies and the public.

The next step is to finalize the scope of the
EIS and then prepare the draft EIS (DEIS).
The DEIS, Final EIS (FEIS) or any
supplemental EIS (SEIS) may be prepared
by county staff or by a consultant. The
consultant may be selected by the county, or
by the applicant if approved by the county.
For private proposals, the applicant will be
required to retain a consultant to prepare
the EIS.

Once the draft EIS is completed and
accepted by the county, the county will
provide public notice asking for comments,
due within 30 days from issuance. A copy of
the DEIS will be sent to the Department of
Ecology, agencies within its jurisdiction,
and to all agencies or persons who
submitted written comments or have
requested a copy of the DEIS.

Once the comment period closes, additional
studies or amendments to the DEIS, will
commence. A final EIS will normally be
issued within 60 days from the end of the
DEIS comment period, and shall be
completed within one year of issuance of
the DS.

**Can the determination be appealed?**
The determination may be appealed to the
county Hearing Examiner by the applicant
or any person or group. An appellant must
submit an appeal application and fee within
14 calendar days after the written notice of
the decision is mailed.

See our *Appeals* handout for further
information and fees.

---

**Fee schedule**
The following fees are required to be paid
when the application is submitted.

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project reviews, any type</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,528</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Issuance</strong></td>
<td><strong>$53</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non-projects</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,987</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Issuance</strong></td>
<td><strong>$53</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Includes annual review applications</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>EIS review</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cost recovery: applicant signs agreement to</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>pay, receives itemized bill</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Issuance</strong></td>
<td><strong>$53</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** This handout is not a substitute
for county code. For more detailed
information, please refer to Clark
County Code, Chapter 40.570.
SEPA Environmental Checklist
Washington Administrative Code (WAC) 197-11-960

Purpose of checklist:
The State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA), Revised Code of Washington (RCW), Chapter 43.21C, requires all governmental agencies to consider the environmental impacts of a proposal before making decisions. An environmental impact statement (EIS) must be prepared for all proposals with significant adverse impacts on the quality of the environment. The purpose of this checklist is to provide information to help you and agencies identify impacts from your proposal and to help agencies decide whether or not an EIS is required.

Instructions for applicants:
This environmental checklist asks you to describe basic information about your proposal. Governmental agencies use this checklist to determine whether or not the environmental impacts of your proposal are significant. Please answer the questions briefly, giving the most precise information or best description known. In most cases, you should be able to answer the questions from your own observations or project plans without the need to hire experts. If you do not know the answer, or if a question does not apply to your proposal, write “do not know” or “does not apply.”

Some questions pertain to governmental regulations such as zoning, shoreline, and landmark designations. If you have problems answering these questions, please contact the Clark County Permit Center for assistance.

The checklist questions apply to all parts of your proposal, even if you plan to do them over a period of time or on different parcels of land. Attach any additional information that will help describe your proposal or its environmental effects. You may be asked to explain your answers or provide additional information related to significant adverse impacts.

Use of checklist for non-project proposals:
Complete this checklist for non-project proposals (e.g., county plans and codes), even if the answer is “does not apply.” In addition, complete the supplemental sheet for non-project actions (Part D).

For non-project actions, the references in the checklist to the words “project,” “applicant,” and “property or site” should be read as “proposal,” “proposer,” and “affected geographic area,” respectively.
A. Background

1. Name of proposed project, if applicable:
   Gary & Carolyn Johnson Short Plat

2. Name of applicant:
   Gary Johnson

3. Address and phone number of applicant and contact person:
   30812 SE Krohn Rd, Washougal, WA 98671
   Gary Johnson, 503-849-2540; Cameron Johnson (contact) 360-949-3626

4. Date checklist prepared:
   6/6/2020

5. Agency requesting checklist:
   Clark County Community Development

6. Proposed timing or schedule (including phasing, if applicable):
   Begin construction on single-family residence in second half 2020.

7. Do you have any plans for future additions, expansion, or further activity related to this proposal? If yes, explain.
   No.

8. List any environmental information that has been or will be prepared related to this proposal.
   Wetland/habitat review; geotechnical review; archaeological review

9. Are other applications pending for governmental approvals affecting the property covered by your proposal? If yes, please explain.
   No.

10. List any government approvals or permits needed for your proposal:
    Do not know.

11. Give a brief, complete description of your proposal, including the proposed uses and size of the project and site. There are several questions addressed later in this checklist asking you to describe certain aspects of your proposal. You do not need to repeat those answers on this page. (Lead agencies may modify this form to include additional specific information on project description.) Divide 10-acre lot into two 5-acre lots, with a single-family residence to be constructed eventually.

12. Location of the proposal. Give sufficient information for a person to understand the precise location of your proposed project, including street address, section, township, and range. If this proposal occurs over a wide area, please provide the range or boundaries of the site. Also, give a legal description, site plan, vicinity map, and topographic map. You are required to submit any plans required by the agency, but not required to submit duplicate maps or plans submitted with permit applications related to this checklist.

   30812 SE Krohn Rd, Washougal, WA 98671
   #24 SEC 31 T2NR4EWM
B. Environmental Elements

1. Earth
a. General description of the site (circle one): [Flat], rolling, hilly, steep slopes, mountainous, other ____________________.

   Flat in area where house will be constructed.
   North side slopes to creek

b. What is the steepest slope on the site and the approximate percentage of the slope?
   40-80%

c. What general types of soils are found on the site (e.g., clay, sand, gravel, peat, muck)? Please specify the classification of agricultural soils and note any prime farmland.
   Do not know

d. Are there surface indications or history of unstable soils in the immediate vicinity? If so, please describe.
   Do not know

e. Describe the purpose, type, and approximate quantities of any filling or proposed grading. Also, indicate the source of fill.
   Does not apply.

f. Could erosion occur as a result of clearing, construction, or use? If so, please describe.
   Do not know.

g. What percentage of the site will be covered with impervious surfaces after the project construction (e.g., asphalt or buildings)?
   Will add <5,000 sq. ft. impervious surface (43%)

h. Proposed measures to reduce or control erosion, or other impacts to the earth include: Limit volume of displaced soil.

2. Air

a. What types of emissions to the air would result from this proposal (e.g., dust, automobile, odors, industrial wood smoke) during construction and after completion? Please describe and give approximate quantities.
   Commensurate to construction and lifestyle of one single-family residence.

b. Are there any off-site sources of emissions or odor that may affect your proposal? If so, please describe.
   No.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control emissions or other impacts to air:
   Does not apply.
3. Water

a. Surface:

1) Is there any surface water body on or in the vicinity of the site (including year-round and seasonal streams, saltwater, lakes, ponds, and wetlands)? If yes, describe the type and provide names and into which stream or river it flows into.

Yes, No stream which makes its way to the little Washougal River off the property.

2) Will the project require any work within 200 feet of the described waters? If yes, please describe and attach available plans.

Yes, but outside riparian.

3) Estimate the amount of fill and dredge material that would be placed in or removed from surface water or wetlands and indicate the area of the site that would be affected. Indicate the source of fill material.

Does not apply.

4) Will the proposal require surface water withdrawals or diversions? Please provide description, purpose, and approximate quantities:

No.

5) Does the proposal lie within a 100-year floodplain? If so, please note the location on the site plan.

No.

6) Does the proposal involve any discharges of waste materials to surface waters? If so, describe the type of waste and anticipated volume of discharge.

No.

b. Ground:

1) Will ground water be withdrawn, or will water be discharged to ground water? Please give description, purpose, and approximate quantities.

Existing well will be used.

2) Describe waste material that will be discharged into the ground from septic tanks or other sources; (e.g., domestic sewage; industrial, containing the following chemicals...; agricultural; etc.). Describe the size and number of the systems, houses to be served; or, the number of animals or humans the systems are expected to serve.

Domestic sewage from one single family residence

c. Water runoff (including stormwater):
1) Describe the source of runoff (including storm water) and method of collection and disposal. Include quantities, if known. Describe where water will flow, and if it will flow into other water.

Stormwater runoff will flow as sheet flow through the grassy area and, via the drainage way, into the Little Washougal River.

2) Could waste materials enter ground or surface waters? If so, please describe.

No.

d. Proposed measures to reduce or control surface, ground, and runoff water impacts, if any: No concentrated flow, dispersed via level spreader, trenches for roof drains or as sheet flow

4. Plants

a. Check or circle types of vegetation found on the site
   - Deciduous tree: alder, maple, aspen, other
   - Evergreen tree: fir, cedar, pine, other
   - Shrubs
   - Grass
   - (Pasture)
   - Crop or grain
   - Wet soil plants: cattail, buttercup, bullrush, skunk cabbage, other
   - Water plants: water lily, eelgrass, milfoil, other
   - Other types of vegetation

b. What kind and amount of vegetation will be removed or altered?

25,000 sq. ft. of pasture

c. List threatened or endangered species on or near the site.

Do not know

d. List proposed landscaping, use of native plants, or other measures to preserve or enhance vegetation on the site:

Do not know.

5. Animals

a. Circle any birds and animals which have been observed on or near the site:

   - Birds: hawk, heron, eagle, songbirds, other;
   - Mammals: deer, bear, elk, beaver, other; and,
   - Fish: bass, salmon, trout, herring, shellfish, other.
b. List any threatened or endangered species known to be on or near the site.
   None.

c. Is the site part of a migration route? If so, please explain.
   Do not know.

d. List proposed measures to preserve or enhance wildlife:
   None. Negligible impact.

6. Energy and natural resources

a. What kinds of energy (electric, natural gas, oil, wood stove, solar) will be used to meet the completed project's energy needs? Describe whether it will be used for heating, manufacturing, etc.
   Electricity for light/heating of ONE single-family residence.

b. Would your project affect the potential use of solar energy by adjacent properties? If so, please describe.
   No.

c. What kinds of energy conservation features are included in the plans of this proposal? List other proposed measures to reduce or control energy impacts:
   Does not apply.

7. Environmental health

a. Are there any environmental health hazards, including exposure to toxic chemicals, risk of fire and explosion, spill, or hazardous waste that could occur as a result of this proposal? If so, please describe.
   No.

1) Describe special emergency services that might be required.
   Does not apply.

2) Proposed measures to reduce or control environmental health hazards, if any:
   Does not apply.

b. Noise

1) What types of noise exist in the area which may affect your project (e.g., traffic, equipment, operation, other)?
   Do not know

2) What types and levels of noise are associated with the project on a short-term or a long-term basis (e.g., traffic, construction, construction of ONE single-family residence)
operation, other? Indicate what hours the noise would come from the site.

Normal business hours.

3) Proposed measures to reduce or control noise impacts:

does not apply.

8. Land and shoreline use

a. What is the current use of the site and adjacent properties?

Single family residence, pasture.

b. Has the site been used for agriculture? If so, please describe.

Grazing

c. Describe any structures on the site.

Agricultural building (barn).

d. Will any structures be demolished? If so, please describe.

None.

e. What is the current zoning classification of the site?

R-5

f. What is the current comprehensive plan designation of the site?

Do not know

g. What is the current shoreline master program designation of the site?

Do not know

h. Has any part of the site been classified as an "environmentally sensitive" area? If so, please specify.

Do not know

i. How many people would reside or work in the completed project?

4 residents (1 family)

j. How many people would the completed project displace?

None.

k. Please list proposed measures to avoid or reduce displacement impacts:

Does not apply.

l. List proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with existing and projected land uses and plans:

Does not apply.
9. Housing

a. Approximately how many units would be provided? Indicate whether it’s high, middle, or low-income housing.

    One middle-income single-family residence.

b. Approximately how many units, if any, would be eliminated? Indicate whether it’s high, middle, or low-income housing.

    None.

c. List proposed measures to reduce or control housing impacts:

    Does not apply.

10. Aesthetics

a. What is the tallest height of any proposed structure(s), not including antennas? What is proposed as the principal exterior building materials?

    280 feet. Fiber cement siding.

b. What views in the immediate vicinity would be altered or obstructed?

    House will be visible by 23 neighbors.

    No scenic will be blocked.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control aesthetic impacts:

    Does not apply.

11. Light and glare

a. What type of light or glare will the proposal produce? What time of day would it mainly occur?

    Does not apply

b. Could light or glare from the finished project be a safety hazard or interfere with views?

    Does not apply

c. What existing off-site sources of light or glare may affect your proposal?

    Does not apply

d. Proposed measures to reduce or control light and glare impacts:

    Does not apply.

12. Recreation

a. What designated and informal recreational opportunities are in the immediate vicinity?

    Do not know.
b. Would the project displace any existing recreational uses? If so, please describe.
   \[ \text{No.} \]

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation, including recreational opportunities to be provided by the project or applicant:
   \[ \text{Does not apply} \]

13. Historic and cultural preservation
a. Are there any places or objects on or near the site which are listed or proposed for national, state, or local preservation registers. If so, please describe.
   \[ \text{No.} \]

b. Please describe any landmarks or evidence of historic, archaeological, scientific, or cultural importance known to be on or next to the site.
   \[ \text{None. Archaeological review completed.} \]

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts:
   \[ \text{Does not apply.} \]

14. Transportation
a. Identify the public streets and highways serving the site, and describe proposed access to the existing street system. Show on site plans, if any.
   \[ \text{Access from Krohn Rd.} \]

b. Is the site currently served by public transit? If not, what is the approximate distance to the nearest transit stop?
   \[ \text{No.} \]

c. How many parking spaces would the completed project have? How many would the project eliminate?
   \[ \text{Provide approximately 5. Eliminate 0.} \]

d. Will the proposal require new roads or streets, or improvements to existing roads or streets, not including driveways? If so, please describe and indicate whether it’s public or private.
   \[ \text{No. Addition of driveway only.} \]

e. Will the project use water, rail, or air transportation? If so, please describe.
   \[ \text{No.} \]
f. How many vehicular trips per day would be generated by the completed project? Indicate when peak traffic volumes would occur.
   \( \leq 5 \text{ per day} \)

g. Proposed measures to reduce or control transportation impacts:
   \textbf{Does not apply.}

15. Public services

a. Would the project result in an increased need for public services (e.g., fire protection, police protection, health care, schools, other)? If so, please describe.
   \textbf{Negligible (one single-family residence)}

b. Proposed measures to reduce or control direct impacts on public services:
   \textbf{Does not apply.}

16. Utilities

a. Circle the utilities currently available at the site: electricity, natural gas, water, refuse service, telephone, sanitary sewer, septic system, other.
   \( \square \text{ Well for existing home only} \)

b. Describe the utilities that are proposed for the project, the utility providing the service, and the general construction activities on or near the site: \textbf{Electricity to be provided by Clark Public Utilities}

C. Signature

The above answers are true and complete to the best of my knowledge. I understand that the lead agency is relying on them to make its decision.

Signature: \( \underline{\text{[Signature]}} \) Date Submitted: 7/1/2020
D. SEPA Supplemental sheet for non-project actions

Instructions:
Because these questions are very general, it may be helpful to read them in conjunction with the list of the elements of the environment. When answering these questions, be aware of the extent of the proposal and the types of activities likely to result from this proposal. Please respond briefly and in general terms.

1. How would the proposal increase discharge to water; emissions to air; production, storage, or release of toxic or hazardous substances; or production of noise?

Proposed measures to avoid or reduce such increases are:

2. How would the proposal be likely to affect plants, animals, fish, or marine life?

Proposed measures to protect or conserve plants, animals, fish, or marine life are:

3. How would the proposal be likely to deplete energy or natural resources?

Proposed measures to protect or conserve energy and natural resources are:

4. How would the proposal use or affect environmentally sensitive areas or those designated (or eligible or under study) for governmental protection; such as parks, wilderness, wild and scenic rivers, threatened or endangered species habitat, historic or cultural sites, wetlands, floodplains, or prime farmlands?

Proposed measures to protect such resources or to avoid or reduce impacts are:

5. How would the proposal be likely to affect land and shoreline use? Will it allow or encourage land or shoreline uses incompatible with existing plans?
Proposed measures to avoid or reduce shoreline and land use impacts are:

6. How would the proposal be likely to increase demands on transportation or public services and utilities?

Proposed measures to reduce or respond to such demand(s) are:

7. Identify whether the proposal may conflict with local, state, or federal laws or requirements for the protection of the environment.