

We Oppose CPZ2018-00021 because it does not fit the guidelines of the Growth Management Act “GMA”
The following page outlines the purpose of GMA.

Overview

The Growth Management Act (GMA) is a series of state statutes, first adopted in 1990, that requires fast-growing cities and counties to develop a comprehensive plan to manage their population growth. It is primarily codified under Chapter 36.70A RCW, although it has been amended and added to in several other parts of the RCW.

Under RCW 36.70A.020, the GMA establishes a series of 13 goals that should act as the basis of all comprehensive plans. The legislature added the goals and policies of the Shoreline Management Act as the fourteenth GMA goal (RCW 36.70A.480). The shoreline goals may be found at RCW 90.58.020.

GMA Goals

(RCW 36.70A.020)

Concentrated urban growth

Sprawl reduction

Regional transportation

Affordable housing

Economic development

Property rights

Permit processing

Natural resource industries

Open space and recreation

Environmental protection

Early and continuous public participation

Public facilities and services

Historic preservation

Shoreline management (RCW 36.70A.480)

Concentrated urban growth- *This is a rural area located miles from anything. From a spot very close to this property I put three destinations in my GPS (Vancouver 7.7 Miles) (Battle Ground 7 miles) (Ridgefield 7.4 Miles) This area is urban for what city?*

Sprawl reduction- *Meets very definition of sprawl - miles from anything stores, businesses, Gas stations, Freeways, or sewers. Roads are all county roads. At least 3 miles to any Freeway. The only way this gets considered is due to the developers Cash*

Regional transportation - Cars Only--No busses or public transportation this far out.

Affordable housing - Housing is going to be expensive this far out, our guess is 3 to 4 Hundred thousand range

Economic development - Closest Business is John deer on 72Nd Ave.

Property rights N/A

Permit processing ?

Natural resource industries ?

Open space and recreation?

Environmental protection ?

Early and continuous public participation only if you live on the counties web site

Public facilities and services - None that we know about

Historic preservation ?

Shoreline management (RCW 36.70A.480) N/A

This does not meet the stated goals of the GMA. This is why we are opposed to lifting the urban holding until more of the criteria is met.

Mill Creek Forest HOA
Greg Huggins President

From: [Greg Huggins](#)
To: [Wiser, Sonja](#)
Subject: CPZ2018.00021
Date: Tuesday, July 16, 2019 2:53:37 PM
Attachments: [CPZ2018-00021.pdf](#)

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of Clark County. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Attached is our opposition to CPZ2018.00021

Greg Huggins
President Mill Creek Forest HOA
Cell 360 609 2431