

McCall, Marilee

From: McCall, Marilee
Sent: Thursday, October 23, 2014 3:00 PM
To: Euler, Gordon; Orjiako, Oliver
Subject: FW: CLARK COUNTY UPDATE: Surface Mining Overlay webpage has been updated with new information and upcoming worksession & hearing dates
Attachments: image001.jpg
Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

*Forwarding comments received after latest email blast.
Marilee*

From: Peter [<mailto:peteroboe@comcast.net>]
Sent: Thursday, October 23, 2014 2:46 PM
To: McCall, Marilee
Cc: Friends Of Livingston; Martin, Mark; Cantrell, Bill; Pond, Angela; Pond, Robert; McCullough, Tyler; Silvis, Terri; Felver, Linda; Pickering, Karen
Subject: Re: CLARK COUNTY UPDATE: Surface Mining Overlay webpage has been updated with new information and upcoming worksession & hearing dates

Dear Marilee,

Thank you for keeping me and others in the loop regarding information on Surface Mining Overlay.

I have scanned the latest staff recommendations and there are several items that really are not appropriate and should not be there, but there is one that is particularly egregious.

Paragraph 3.5.2(d) states that "The surface mining overlay shall not be designated on parcels zones Rural (R) except to allow the expansion of an existing mining site." This clause is one that all of us on Livingston Mountain ave been objecting to since the beginning of the hearings last year. Allowing expansion of existing mines almost negates the purpose of the decision of the Commissioners restricting SMO in certain areas. It is obvious that the only reason Stordahl wants this provision is to expand without citizen review, thereby allowing daisy chaining from one site to another, ultimately taking over most of Livingston Mountain, even in areas that the Commissioners specifically said should not have Surface Mining.

I am amazed that the staff continues to include that very dangerous clause in their suggestions.

I know all of us on Livingston Mountain will be watching this very carefully. You write that Friends of Livingston Mountain still have an issue with 3.5.2(d). You bet we do. That is a giveaway to Stordahl and all mining interests and will adversely affect all of us.

Thank you for sharing my letter with all concerned parties.

Sincerely,

Peter Christ
28818 NE Hancock Road
Camas, WA 98607

From: "Marilee McCall" <Marilee.McCall@clark.wa.gov>
To: "Marilee McCall" <Marilee.McCall@clark.wa.gov>, "Gordon Euler" <Gordon.Euler@clark.wa.gov>
Cc: "Mary Keltz" <Mary.Keltz@clark.wa.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, October 22, 2014 12:24:38 PM
Subject: CLARK COUNTY UPDATE: Surface Mining Overlay webpage has been updated with new information and upcoming worksession & hearing dates



The latest document with staff recommendations on Policy and Enforcement has been added to the Surface Mining Overlay web page.

You can view the updated information here:

<http://www.clark.wa.gov/planning/mining/index.html>

A work session has been scheduled with the Planning Commission for **Thursday, November 6 at 5:30 p.m.** with a hearing scheduled on **Thursday, November 20 at 6:30 p.m.**

The Board of County Commissioners will have a work session on **Wednesday, November 12 at 9:00 a.m.**, with a hearing scheduled on **Tuesday, November 25 at 10:00 a.m.**

Thank you for your interest in Clark County!

Marilee McCall | Administrative Assistant

Clark County Community Planning

360-397-2280 ext. 4558

1300 Franklin Street | Vancouver, WA 98660

P.O. Box 9810 | Vancouver, WA 98666

www.clark.wa.gov/planning

This e-mail and related attachments and any response may be subject to public disclosure under state law.